



WEB COPY

OA Nos. 217 and 218 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

OA NOs. 217 and 218 of 2025 in C.S.No.46 of 2025

B. Lalithkumar and another
S/o.Bhawarlal Jain, Door.No-49/1 and 2, Ramanuja
Iyer St, Old Washermenpet, Ch-21 and another

Applicants in both the applications

Vs

P.C. Vedagiri and 5 others
S/o.Late.P.R.Chitti Babu and 5 Others

Respondents in both the applications

For Applicant(s):

M/s. V. Premkumar

For Respondent(s):

No appearance

COMMON ORDER

Notice was ordered by this Court, returnable by four weeks. Summons were sent by this Court on the respondent.

2. The summons sent to the respective respondents were served by this Court, which is evidenced by the Report of the Bailiff on 25.03.1925. Respondents names have also been printed in the cause list and when the matter was taken up today, there is no appearance for the respondents either in



person or through counsel.

WEB COPY

3. The plaintiff/applicant had entered into an agreement of sale with regard to “B” and “C” schedule properties which are plaint documents No.7 and 8 which forms larger part of the land in Schedule “A” property. The plaintiffs have specifically stated that they have been put in possession of the property as tenants in “A” schedule property and proceedings before the Rent Control Court is also pending, in which, they have remitted rents for the entire “A” schedule property. Therefore, the applicants seeks injunction restraining the respondents from interfering with the peaceful possession, occupation and enjoyment of the property.

4. The plaintiffs have also sought for interim injunction in A.No.218 of 2025 restraining the respondents from alienating, mortgaging, encumbering or dealing with the property.

5. This Court is of the view that having entered into an agreement with the defendants, the applicants/plaintiffs cannot seek for injunction restraining the owner of the property from alienating, mortgaging the property, which is admittedly larger extent.

6. In such view of the matter, there shall be an order of interim



injunction restraining the respondents from interfering with the peaceful possession of the applicants/plaintiffs and also there shall be an interim injunction restraining the respondents from alienating the undivided share of the land in “B” and “C” schedule property.

7. Both the applications are ordered on the aforesaid terms.

09-04-2025

sr

To

1. Mrs. M. RAJESWARI
W/o Late Shri S.M.Muralidharan,
No.22/2, Raja Street, Devaraja Nagar,
Saligramam, Chennai - 93.

Also At

Plot 4e, Dr.Ragini City,
Off Mambakkam - Medavakkam Road,
Madurapakkam, Chennai - 600 127.

2. Mr.M.Balakumaran,
S/o Late Shri S.M.Muralidharan,
No.22/2, Raja Street, Devaraja Nagar,
Saligramam, Chennai - 93

Also At

Plot 4e, Dr, Ragini City,
Off Mambakkam - Nedavakkam Road,
Madrurapakkam, Chennai - 600 127.

K.KUMARESH BABU.J.,



WEB COPY



sr

OA NOs. 217 and 218 of 2025 in C.S.No.46 of 2025

09.04.2025