



WEB COPY



CrI OP No.4849 of 2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

DATED: 24.02.2025

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

CrI.O.P.No.4849 of 2025

B.Parthasarathy

... Petitioner

Versus

State rep by  
Inspector of Police,  
T4 Madhuravoyal Police Station,  
Chennai.

... Respondent

**Prayer:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Cr.No.90 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr.Kanagaraj Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph,  
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS Act 2023 r/w 21(1) MM Act, 1957 in Crime No.90 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had illegally transported 1½ unit of M-sand. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that he has been falsely implicated in this case; and that in any case custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation and hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that there is no previous case as against the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made on either side; nature of allegation; that the contraband was seized and since custodial



interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

7. Considering the nature of allegations and since, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the ***Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamalle***, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

**[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.**



WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.


24.02.2025

Vv

To

1. Inspector of Police,  
T4 Madhuravoyal Police Station,  
Chennai.

2. The Public Prosecutor,



High Court of Madras,  
Chennai.

WEB COPY



**CrI OP No.4849 of 2025**

**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

Vv

**CrI.O.P.No.4849 of 2025**

**24.02.2025**