



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.4660 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-02-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 4660 of 2025

1.S.Siranjeevi
2. Revathy
3. Anju @ Anjalai
4. Nithyasri ...Petitioners/A1 to A4

Vs.

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Madurantakam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No.27 of 2025) ...Respondent/Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023,
to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.27 of
2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Magesh Kumar G
For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent



police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS 2023 r/w Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.27 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to a wordy quarrel with regard to running a cartwheel shop, the petitioners have attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log and hands. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioners had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; that in any case the injured has been discharged from the hospital and custodial interrogation is not required; and that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the



WEB COPY

petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioners/A1, A2 and A4 have no previous cases and the 3rd petitioner/A3 is having previous cases under the TNP Act and that she is on bail in all those cases. He further submitted that the defacto complainant suffered simple injuries, treated as outpatient and now discharged from the hospital.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of offence, the fact that the injured suffered simple injuries and discharged from hospital; that the petitioners/A1, A2 and A4 have no previous cases and that though the 3rd petitioner/A3 is involved in cases under the Prohibition Act, she is on bail in all those cases; and since, custodial interrogation is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in



the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15)

days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the

learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurantakam**, on condition that the

petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten

Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the

satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to

arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

- [a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;
- [b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;
- [c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- [d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- [e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



WEB COPY




Crl.O.P.No.4660 of 2025

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*** reported in [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.02.2025

ars



Crl.O.P.No.4660 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ars

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Madurantakam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.
- 3.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Madurantakam.

Crl.O.P. No.4660 of 2025

21.02.2025