



WEB COPY



Crl OP No.4662 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.02.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.4662 of 2025

Vasu

... Petitioner

Versus

State rep by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
Crime No.110 of 2025.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Cr.No.110 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr.V.Manimaran

For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 351(3) and 118(1) of BNS Act in Crime No.110 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of prior enmity, the petitioner threatened the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false; and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that there are eight previous cases against the petitioner and he is on bail in those cases.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the petitioner is on bail in the other cases and since, custodial interrogation is



not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate-1, Chengalpattu** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



Crl OP No.4662 of 2025

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

21.02.2025

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I,
Chengalpattu.
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl OP No.4662 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.4662 of 2025

21.02.2025