



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6363 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-03-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 6363 OF 2025

G.Rasukutti

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep.By,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch, Salem.

Respondent(s)

CRL OP No. 6363 of 2025

PRAYER

To release the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police crime No.12/2024 and pass such further or other orders

CRL OP No. 6363 of 2025

For Petitioner(s): Ms. S. Sridevi

For Respondent(s): Mr. S. Santhosh,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The case of the prosecution is that, the accused who was working as
Manager, Team Leader and Relationship Executives in the Sriram Finance,




Kolathur Branch, Salem, by fabrication of documents and forged loan applications cheated the company to the tune of Rs.1,11,20,000/-. Hence, the case.

2. This is the second anticipatory bail petition before this Court. The earlier anticipatory bail petition in Crl. O.P. No. 387 of 2025 was dismissed on 29.01.2025 by Hon'ble Mr.Justice A.D.Jagadish Chandira and this anticipatory bail petition is listed before this Court pursuant to the orders passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in Crl. O.P. No. 31787 of 2024 on 04.03.2025.

3. The earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed with the following observations: -

“4. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail is that, the second accused, Silamparasan, who was working as Branch Team Leader in the defacto complainant's company, along with other Executives by furnishing false loan applications had cheated



WEB COPY


the company to the tune of Rs.1,11,20,000/-. As far as this petitioner/A-11 is concerned, he helped to transfer the amount to the second accused. He would further submit that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the intervenor/defacto complainant submitted that the accused who was employed in the company as Manager, Team Leader, Executives has conspired together and cheated the company to the tune of Rs.1,11,20,000/-. He would submit that the huge public money is involved and he vehemently objected for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent police, the learned counsel for the intervenor/defacto complainant and perused the materials available on record, considering the facts and the submissions, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.”

4. This Court is of the view that there is no change in circumstances.

Further, this Court had dismissed the earlier anticipatory bail petition recently on 29.01.2025. Hence, this Court is not inclined to entertain this second anticipatory bail petition.



5. The anticipatory bail petition is therefore dismissed.

WEB COPY

17-03-2025

AT

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

CRL OP No. 6363 of 2025

To

1. The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch, Salem.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6363 of 2025



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

AT

**CRL OP NO. 6363 OF
2025**

17-03-2025