



WEB COPY



W.P.No.5695 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 19.02.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No. 5695 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.6284 & 6285 of 2025

Tvl. S.S.Computer Services
Rep. by its Proprietor Sudhakar

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Deputy State Tax Officer -1,
Gandhipuram Circle, Commercial Taxes Building
Dr.Balasundaram Road,
Coimbatore- 641 018.

...Respondents

Prayer

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for records pertaining to the impugned order bearing Ref.No.ZD3311230841104 dated 15.11.2023, issued by the respondent and to quash the same as arbitrary.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Derrick Sam

For Respondent : Mrs.K.Vasanthamala
Government Advocate (T)



W.P.No.5695 of 2025

Order

WEB COPY

Heard Mr.G.Derrick Sam learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mrs.K.Vasanthamala, learned Government Advocate (T), who takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order dated 15.11.2023, issued by the respondent and to quash the same as arbitrary.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all the show cause notice/personal hearing notice, which culminated in the impugned order, were merely uploaded in the GST Portal under the "View Additional Notices Tab", hence, the same were unnoticed by the petitioner, therefore, the petitioner could not file reply nor appear for the personal hearing, however, without hearing the petitioner, the impugned order came to be passed.



W.P.No.5695 of 2025

3.1 Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside, as the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order. However, it is stated that the petitioner is also ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remands the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration, and thus, prays for appropriate orders.

4. The learned Government Advocate (T) for the respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Taking into consideration of the submissions made on either side and perusal of record, there is no dispute on the aspect that notices, which culminated in the impugned order were merely uploaded in the GST portal, which were unnoticed by the petitioner as the petitioner had no occasion to view the Portal, hence, the petitioner could not file reply or appear for the



W.P.No.5695 of 2025

personal hearing. However, the respondent passed the impugned order without even affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, which is nothing by an ex parte order, as the same suffers from violation of principles of natural justice.

6. Thus, once the order is passed in violation of principles of natural justice, this Court cannot impose any condition requiring the petitioner to make any deposit, however, since the petitioner, themselves, have voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, to which, the learned Government Advocate (T) is also agreeable, this Court passes the following orders/directions:-

i) The impugned order dated 15.11.2023 passed by the respondent is set aside.

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves have voluntarily come forward to make such payment within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a



W.P.No.5695 of 2025

copy of this order.

WEB COPY

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

7. In the result, the Writ Petition is allowed on the aforesaid terms.
No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

19.02.2025

sd

To
The Deputy State Tax Officer -1,
Gandhipuram Circle, Commercial Taxes Building
Dr.Balasundaram Road,
Coimbatore- 641 018

5/6



WEB COPY



W.P.No.5695 of 2025

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

sd

W.P.No. 5695 of 2025

19.02.2025