



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.02.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.4299 of 2025

Muruganantham

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State through
The Inspector of Police,
Yercaud Police Station,
Salem District.
(Crime No.23 of 2025)

...

Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.23 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Vengadesan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.01.2025, seeking bail



in Crime No.23 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 140(2) and 351(2) of BNS.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that A1 is the husband of the defacto complainant and they were living separately for the past six years and that there was a money dispute pending between them; that A1 along with the petitioner and other accused had kidnapped the parents of the defacto complainant and called the defacto complainant and stated that unless the money is settled they would not release her parents. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and the allegations against him are false and that the petitioner has been in custody from 20.01.2025 and in any case, further custody of the petitioner is not required. Hence, he prays for the grant of bail.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and raised objections for the grant of bail.



5.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegations, the relationship between the parties and the period incarceration and since further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of interrogation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Yercaud, Salem District**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

19.02.2025

ata



Copy to:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Yercaud Police Station,
Salem District.
- 2.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Yercaud,
Salem District.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Salem.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.No.4299 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

CrI.O.P.No.4299 of 2025

19.02.2025