



WEB COPY

W.P.No.26776 of



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07.01.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.26776 of 2015
and M.P.Nos.1, 2 & 3 of 2015

T.Nesamalar

...

Petitioner

versus

1.The District Collector,
The Nilgiris District,
Udagamandalam (Ooty).

2.The Commissioner,
Panchayat Union,
Gudaloor, The Nilgiris District.

3.Shanthi

...

Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records pertaining to the proceedings of the second respondent in respect of Sl.No.31 in Na.Ka.No.A3/514/2015 dated 1.6.2015 and quash the same and consequently direct the 1st and 2nd respondents to appoint the petitioner for the post of “Nutrition Meal Helper” in the Panchyat Union Middle School, Gandhi Nagar, Gudalur Taluk, Nilgiris District.

For Petitioner	:	No Appearance
For Respondents	:	Mrs.V.Yamuna Devi
		Special Government Pleader - R1 & R2
		No Appearance - R3



ORDER

This writ petition is filed challenging the proceedings of the second respondent dated 01.06.2015 whereby the third respondent was appointed to the post of “Nutrition Meal Helper” in the Panchyat Union Middle School, Gandhi Nagar, Gudalur Taluk, Nilgiris District.

2. When the matter was posted for hearing on 21.12.2024, there was no representation on the side of the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was ordered to be posted under the caption “for dismissal”. Even when the matter is listed today, there is no representation on the side of the petitioner either in-person or through her counsel.

3. Mrs.V.Yamuna Devi, learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents 1 and 2 by relying on the counter affidavit submitted that there were 3 applicants including the petitioner and all the applications were considered and only since the petitioner had crossed the age of 40 years and was overaged on the date of submission of the application and the third respondent was eligible, after completing the interview process, the third respondent was duly appointed to the said post. Therefore, the claim made by the petitioner challenging the appointment cannot be sustained.



WEB COPY

4. Since the petitioner has not chosen to appear before this Court in spite of listing the writ petition under the caption “for dismissal”, this Writ Petition is *dismissed for non prosecution*. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

5. There shall be no order as to costs.

07.01.2025

Speaking order / Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

sri

To

1.The District Collector,
The Nilgiris District,
Udagamandalam (Ooty).

2.The Commissioner,
Panchayat Union,
Gudaloor, The Nilgiris District.



WEB COPY

W.P.No.26776 of 2015



G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.

sri

W.P.No.26776 of 2015
and M.P.Nos.1, 2 & 3 of 2015

07.01.2025