



Crl.O.P.No. 4619 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No. 4619 of 2025

S.Gopinath

.... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissionerate,
of Tambaram, Sholinganallur,
Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
J12 Kanathur Police Station,
ECR, Muttukadu – 603 112
Chengelpet District.

3.K.Suresh

4.K.Santhi

5.Kumar

.... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry on the complaint lodged by the respondents 3 to 5 before the 2nd respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Prasanth

For R1 & R2 : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No. 4619 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER


This petition has been filed seeking direction to the second respondent police not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry on the complaint lodged by the respondents 3 to 5.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that on the complaint lodged by the respondents 3 to 5 herein, the petitioner is now apprehending arrest at the hands of the second respondent.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the 1st and 2nd respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the respondents 3 to 5 against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the second respondent police.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and



Crl.O.P.No. 4619 of 2025

hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.



WEB COPY

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.



Crl.O.P.No. 4619 of 2025

WEB COPY 10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal
Original Petition stands disposed off.

20.02.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
Lpp

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissionerate,
of Tambaram, Sholinganallur,
Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
J12 Kanathur Police Station,
ECR, Muttukadu – 603 112
Chengelpet District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No. 4619 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Lpp

Crl.O.P.No. 4619 of 2025

20.02.2025