



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.4070 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-02-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.4070 of 2025

Chandru,
S/o. Saravanan,
D.No.12-A, Andi Street, Mohanur, Namakkal – 637 015.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.by,
Inspector of Police,
Mohanur Police Station, Namakkal District. (Crime No.04 of 2025)


Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr. T. Dhasarathan

For Respondent(s): Mr. S. Balaji, Government Advocate (Crl.side).

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (BNS), 2023, (147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of IPC) in Crime No.04 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, when the de-facto complainant was returning from a festival on a two-wheeler, the petitioner and the other accused deliberately collided with him. When the de-facto complainant confronted them, a wordy quarrel arose between them, and that the petitioner attacked him with knife and the other co-accused were also physically assaulted him and abused him in a filthy language, and thereby the de-facto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, stating that the de-facto complainant was riding his two-wheeler



in accordance with road rules, when the petitioner and his friends, had voluntarily dashed against him, a wordy quarrel arose between them.

WEB COPY

Subsequently, the petitioner and other accused attacked the de-facto complainant with a knife, aided by the co-accused and also abused him with filthy language. The injured person has been discharged from the hospital. Further, he submitted that the petitioner has no previous cases pending against him.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, and also considering the fact that the petitioner has no previous case pending against him, and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, and since custodial interrogation is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Namakkal**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 A.M., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during the investigation or during the trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during the investigation or during the trial;



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.4070 of 2025



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner was released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

18-02-2025

klt

To

1.The State Rep.by,
Inspector of Police,
Mohanur Police Station, Namakkal District. (Crime No.04 of 2025)

SUNDER MOHAN, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.4070 of 2025

klt

Crl.O.P. No.4070 of 2025

18-02-2025