



WEB COPY



W.P.No.5601 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 19.02.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.5601 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos.6178 & 6179 of 2025

New Jaya Stores
rep. by its Proprietor
Jayaseelan Prince.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State Tax Officer
Chengalpattu Assessment Circle
No.16 A, 1st Main Road,
Annanagar, Chengalpattu 603 001.

...Respondent

Prayer

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for records of the impugned order of the respondent passed in GSTIN :33APXPP9699G1ZQ/2019-20 dated 17.08.2024 and to quash the same.

For Petitioner : M/s.A.Divya

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik
Additional Government Pleader (T)



W.P.No.5601 of 2025

Order

WEB COPY

Heard M/s.A.Divya, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik learned Additional Government Pleader (T) who takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order passed by the respondent dated 17.08.2024 and to quash the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all the show cause notice/personal hearing notice, which culminated in the impugned order, were merely uploaded in the GST Portal under the "View Additional Notices/Orders Tab", hence, the same were unnoticed by the petitioner, therefore, the petitioner could not file reply nor appear for the personal hearing, however, without hearing the petitioner, the impugned order came to be passed.



3.1 Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader (T) for the respondent

5. Taking into consideration of the submissions made on either side



W.P.No.5601 of 2025

appear for the personal hearing. However, the respondent passed the impugned order without even affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, which is nothing by an ex parte order, as the same suffers from violation of principles of natural justice.

6. Thus, once the order is passed in violation of principles of natural justice, this Court cannot impose any condition requiring the petitioner to make any deposit, however, since the petitioner, themselves, have voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, to which, the learned Additional Government Pleader (T) is also agreeable, this Court passes the following orders/directions:-

i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 17.08.2024 is set aside.

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves have voluntarily come forward to make such payment within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a



W.P.No.5601 of 2025

copy of this order.

WEB COPY

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

vi) Upon production of proof with regard to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax made by the petitioner, the respondent-Department is directed to pass appropriate orders towards defreeze of the petitioner's bank account forthwith.

7. In the result, the Writ Petition is allowed on the aforesaid terms.
No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

19.02.2025

sd

5/7



W.P.No.5601 of 2025

WEB COPY

Index : yes/no

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

sd

To

The State Tax Officer
Chengalpattu Assessment Circle
No.16 A, 1st Main Road,
Annanagar, Chengalpattu 603 001.

W.P.No.5601 of 2025



WEB COPY



W.P.No.5601 of 2025

19.02.2025