



Crl.O.P.No.4480 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No.4480 of 2025

Rajendra Kumar

.... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of Police Commissioner,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.

2.The Additional Deputy Commissioner,
Cyber Crime Branch,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.

3.The State represented by its
Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Branch,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.

4.Mahalakshmi
Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Branch,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.

5.The State represented by its
Inspector of Police,
R8, Vadapalani Station Crime,
Chennai – 600 026.

6.Bharanidharan

.... Respondents



Crl.O.P.No.4480 of 2025

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to direct the 3rd cum 4th respondent police herein not to harass this petitioner under the pretext of inquiry in the light of the order passed by this Court dated 10.01.2025 made in Crl.O.P.No.893 of 2025 on the file of this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Venkadesh
For R1, R2, R3 & R5 : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the 3rd and 4th respondent police not to harass the petitioner under the pretext of inquiry in the light of the order passed by this Court dated 10.01.2025 made in Crl.O.P.No.893 of 2025

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the third respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents police submits that the complaint given by the defacto complainant is pending on the file of the fifth respondent and the same has been forwarded to the Chief Minister's Cell.



WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.



Crl.O.P.No.4480 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the

Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.



Crl.O.P.No.4480 of 2025

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general

diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed off.

19.02.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
Lpp

To

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of Police Commissioner,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.

2.The Additional Deputy Commissioner,
Cyber Crime Branch,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.4480 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Lpp

3.The Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Branch,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.

4.The Inspector of Police,
R8, Vadapalani Station Crime,
Chennai – 600 026.

5.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.4480 of 2025

19.02.2025