



A. Nos.572 to 576 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.02.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

**OA NO. 47 of 2025**

**AND**

**ARB APPLN NO. 80 OF 2025,ARB APPLN NO. 81 OF 2025,ARB  
APPLN NO. 82 OF 2025,ARB APPLN NO. 83 OF 2025,A NO. 572  
OF 2025,A NO. 573 OF 2025,A NO. 574 OF 2025,A NO. 575 OF  
2025,A NO. 576 OF 2025,OA NO. 48 OF 2025,OA NO. 49 OF  
2025,OA NO. 50 OF 2025,OA NO. 51 OF 2025,  
ARB APPLN NO. 79 OF 2025**

**In A. NO. 572 of 2025**

Kovaipudur Football Club

V - 53 Kovaipudur Coimbatore

Appellant(s)

Vs

Vaga Football Club

V - 53 Kovaipudur Coimbatore and 3 Others

Respondent(s)

For Applicant : Mr.C. Vigneswaran

For respondents : Ms. Kanimozhi for R2

**ORDER**

A third party has come forward with these applications seeking to implead them as party respondents in Arb.Appln. No.79 of 2025. They



A. Nos.572 to 576 of 2025

claim that if the election process is stalled, their interests will be prejudiced. Admittedly they are sailing with the respondent Football Association. Admittedly they are not parties to the dispute raised by the applicant in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025 against the respondent Football Association. They also do not have any contractual relationship with the applicant in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025. There is no arbitration agreement between the applicant in these applications and the applicant in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025. The applicant's interest in these applications are protected by the counter filed by the respondents in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025. The respondent is the Football Association, which protects the interest of all their Members, which includes the applicant in this application as well as the applicant in Arb. Appln No.79 of 2025. The defences in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025 have been stated in the counter filed by the Football Association in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025. A third party cannot intervene in the subject matter of an application filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, that too, when there is no arbitration agreement between the applicant in these applications and the applicant in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025. Since the interest of the applicant as raised in these applications are



A. Nos.572 to 576 of 2025

protected by the counter filed by the respondent in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025, the question of entertaining these applications, that too, in an application filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act does not arise. The applicant in the impleading applications is not a necessary party for the effective adjudication in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025 as their interests are protected by the counter filed by the respondent in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025.

2. For the foregoing reasons, A. No.572 to 576 of 2025 does not deserve any merit and these applications are dismissed.

3. Post the matter finally for arguments in Arb. Appln. No.79 of 2025 on 20.02.2025.

10.02.2025

vsi2



WEB COPY



A. Nos.572 to 576 of 2025

**ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.**

vsi2

**OA NO. 47 of 2025 etc.**

**10.02.2025**