



WEB COPY



HCP.No.301 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.04.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

H.C.P.No.301 of 2025

R.Manjunathan

Petitioner(s) /brother of the detainee

Vs

1. The State Of Tamilnadu Rep.By Its
Secretary To Government, Home,
Prohibition And Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Magistrate And District Collector
Office Of District Collector, Namakkal District.

3.The Superintendent Of Police
Namakkal, Namakkal District.

4.The Superintendent Of Central Prison
Central Prison, Salem District.

5.The Inspector Of Police
Komarapalayam Police Station,
Namakkal District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to
issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, to direct the respondents to produce the body
of the detainee name Miranda Senthil @ Senthilkumar, brother of the



HCP.No.301 of 2025

petitioner herein, aged 45 years, presently confined at Central prison, Salem before this court and set him at liberty forthwith, after calling for the records pertaining to the detention order dated 21.11.2024 in Cr.M.P.No.76/DRUG OFFENDER/2024 M1 passed by the 2nd respondent quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Mohan

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.

and

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

The petitioner herein, who is the brother of the detenu, Miranda Senthil @ Senthilkumar, aged 45 years, presently confined at Central prison, Salem, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 21.11.2024 issued against his brother, branding him as "Drug Offender" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned



HCP.No.301 of 2025

Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.


WEB COPY

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an inordinate delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, the detenu was arrested on 09.10.2024 and thereafter, the detention order came to be passed on 21.11.2024. This fact is not disputed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

5. In the case of '*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura*', reported in '*2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813*', when there was an inordinate delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between the date of detention order and the actual arrest, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped in arresting the detenu. The relevant observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted hereunder:-

“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above



WEB COPY



HCP.No.301 of 2025

decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and circumstances of each case.”

6. Drawing inspiration from the judgment in ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's case***, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of '***Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others***', reported in '***2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332***', had held that when there is an inordinate delay from the date of arrest/date of proposal till the order of detention, the live and proximate link between them would also stand snapped and thereby, had quashed the detention order on this ground.

7. In yet another case i.e., in '***Nagaraj Vs. State of Tamil Nadu***',



HCP.No.301 of 2025


reported in '**(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428**', this Court had held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention. Hence, in view of the unexplained and inordinate delay in passing the order of detention, after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order in the present case, is liable to be quashed.

8. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 21.11.2024 in Cr.M.P.No.76/DRUG OFFENDER/2024 M1, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Miranda Senthil @ Senthilkumar, aged 45 years, presently confined at Central prison, Salem, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [N.S., J]
21.04.2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Anu

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and



HCP.No.301 of 2025

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

Anu

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Secretary To Government, Home,
Prohibition And Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
 - 2.The District Magistrate And District Collector
Office Of District Collector, Namakkal District.
 - 3.The Superintendent Of Police
Namakkal, Namakkal District.
 - 4.The Superintendent Of Central Prison
Central Prison, Salem District.
 - 5.The Inspector Of Police
Komarapalayam Police Station, Namakkal District.
 - 6.The Joint Secretary,
Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai
 - 7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.301 of 2025

21.04.2025

(1/2)