



W.P.No.7426 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR

W.P.No.7426 of 2022 & W.M.P No.7423 of 2022

1. Subramanian Vairavan @ VE.S.Subramanian
2. C.Visalakshi
3. VE.Muthu .. Petitioners

vs.

1. The Secretary
Housing & Urban Development Department
Secretariat
Chennai – 600 009
2. The Member Secretary
Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority
No.1, Gandhi Irwin, Egmore
Chennai – 600 008
3. The Commissioner
Greater Chennai Corporation
Chennai – 600 003
4. Zonal Executive Engineer (Zone IX)
8, 4th Cross St, Lake Area
Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600 034
5. M/s.CAMEO Corporate Services Limited
Represented by its Authorised Signatory
Subramanian Building
No.1, Club House Road
Chennai – 600 002

.. Respondents



W.P.No.7426 of 2022

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Rahul Balaji
For R1 : Mr. M.S. Arasakumar
Govt. Advocate
For R2 : Mr. S. Ramesh
For R5 : Ms. R. Priyadharshini

ORDER

(made by M.SUNDAR, J.)

Scanned version of paragraph 23 of compliance report of second respondent dated 25.08.2025 is as follows:

23.I submit that, subsequently, M/s. Cameo Corporate Service Ltd., in the letter dated 23.04.2025 informed that they have removed all three Generators that were placed on the Ground level on the premises. Accordingly, the site under reference was inspected on 24.04.2025 and found that the Generator provided in the northern side Setback was removed. Further, it is submitted that all three Generators provided in the Setback were removed and restored as per the approved plan based on the Government H & UD dept. in G.O.Ms.No.683 dated 22.05.1987.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for writ petitioners, learned Standing Counsel for second respondent (CMDA) and learned counsel for fifth respondent (private respondent) that while two generators have been removed, one has been kept in a moving truck which is still parked within the compound.



W.P.No.7426 of 2022

WEB COPY 3. Two points arise. First point is, this has not been articulated at paragraph 23 of status report extracted above. Second point is, whether this is permissible under CMDA Regulations/Rules so that the same can be construed as compliance.

4. Faced with the above situation, Mr. S. Ramesh, learned Standing Counsel for second respondent (CMDA), sought time to file a further status report by second respondent on the aforesaid two points.

5. Request acceded to.

6. List under the same cause list caption on 26.09.2025.

[M.S., J] [H.C., J.]
29.08.2025

cad



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7426 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.

and

HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

cad

To

1. The Secretary
Housing & Urban Development Department
Secretariat
Chennai – 600 009
2. The Member Secretary
Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority
No.1, Gandhi Irwin, Egmore
Chennai – 600 008
3. The Commissioner
Greater Chennai Corporation
Chennai – 600 003
4. Zonal Executive Engineer (Zone IX)
8, 4th Cross St, Lake Area
Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600 034

W.P.No.7426 of 2022

29.08.2025