



W.P.No. 5237 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.5237 of 2025

M.Madhu

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by its:

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
BARGUR Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

3.Lakshmi

4.Sivagami

5.Sathiya

6.Jothi

..Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the first and second respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 05.12.2024 and provide necessary police protection to the petitioner and his property comprised in Survey No.330/1A measuring an extent of 0.58.0 hectares (1.43 acres) is situated in Salinayanapalli Village, Bargur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No. 5237 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.P.M.Jayachandran
For R1 and R2 : Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan,
Government Advocate (crl.side)

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first and second respondents to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and his property, Survey No. 330/1A, measuring an extent of 0.58.0 hectares (1.43 acres) is situated in Salinayanapalli Village, Bargur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the owner of the property comprised in Survey No. 330/1A, measuring an extent of 0.58.0 hectares (1.43 acres) is situated in Salinayanapalli Village, Bargur Taluk, Krishnagiri District. However, the respondents 3 to 6 are disturbing the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property. Therefore, the petitioner submitted a representation seeking police protection for his life and property.



W.P.No. 5237 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. The learned Government Advocate(crl.side) would submit that the dispute between the petitioner and respondents 3 to 6 are civil in nature.

4. Heard both sides and perused the material available on record.

5. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant police protection to the petitioner's property as the dispute between the petitioner and respondents 3 to 6 pertains to civil in nature. Police protection is granted only in matters concerning the life and personal safety of the petitioner. Therefore, the appropriate remedy for the petitioner would be to approach the Civil Court for appropriate relief. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs.

14.02.2025

Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
shk



W.P.No. 5237 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

shk

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
BARGUR Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

W.P.No.5237 of 2025

14.02.2025