



WEB COPY

CONT P No.626 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 07-11-2025**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA**

**CONT P NO. 626 OF 2025,**

**CONT P NO. 760 OF 2025 and CONT P NO. 599 OF 2025**

**CONT P No. 626 of 2025**

R.Stanlin  
10-B, II Cross, Sankaradoss Swamigal Nagar,  
Lawspet, Puducherry-605 008.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Priyatarshny  
Director of School Education,  
Puducherry-605 005.

..Contemnor(s)

**CONT P No. 760 of 2025**

S.Jecintha  
Wife of A.James,  
No.59, Convent Street,  
Nellithope, Puducherry-605 005.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Priyatarshny  
Director of School Education,  
Puducherry-605 005.

..Contemnor(s)



**CONT P No. 599 of 2025**

A. Queen Ben Autoinette,  
Wife of L. Arokiadoss,  
No. 1, Ground Floor, Vijaya Ganapathy Street,  
Senthamarai Nagar, Muthialpet,  
Puducherry - 605003.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Mrs. Priyatarshny  
Director of School Education,  
Puducherry - 605005.

..Contemnor(s)

**CONT P No. 626 of 2025**

To punish the respondent for willfully disobeying the orders of this  
Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.10159 of 2020 dated 10.01.2024.

**CONT P No. 760 of 2025**

To punish the respondents for willfully disobeying the orders of  
this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.12997 of 2021 dated 10.01.2024.

**CONT P No. 599 of 2025**

To punish the respondent for willfully disobeying the orders of this  
Hon'ble Court in W.P.No. 13398 of 2021 dated 10.01.2024

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Rajendran

For Contemnor(s): Mr.R.Syed Mustafa Special Government  
Pleader Puducherry

**COMMON ORDER**

WEB COPY

These Contempt Petitions have been filed to punish the respondent for willful disobedience of the orders of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.10159 of 2020, W.P.No.12997 of 2021 and W.P.No. 13398 of 2021 dated 10.01.2024.

2. A compliance affidavit has been filed by the respondent stating that while disposing the Writ Appeal in W.A.No.2842 of 2018 and batch, the Writ Appellate Court has given the following direction :

*“55. In view of the aforesaid discussions, we dispose of these batch of cases in W.A.Nos.2842, 2176, 2557, 2848, 2040 of 2018 & 768, 873 of 2019 and 125 of 2021 and W.P.Nos.10371 to 10374 of 2014 & 12940 to 12942 of 2015 & 21850 to 21854 of 2015 & 22129 & 22130 of 2015 and 27170 to 27173 & 21062 of 2015 with the following directions:~*

*(a) The Authority is directed to consider the application for grant of approval submitted by the respective Managements and pass appropriate orders within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.*



WEB COPY

*(b) While carrying out such exercises, the cases in which the appointed Teachers have either resigned or superannuated need not be considered for grant of approval.*

*(c) While considering the approval of teachers who are appointed after 2001 but before 16.12.2014 they shall necessarily fulfill the qualifications prescribed under the Schedule to Regulations, 2001.*

*(d) As regards to the applications for grant of approval for Teachers who have been appointed either through direct recruitment or by way of promotion after 16.12.2014 will have to fulfill the minimum qualifications prescribed under the Regulations, 2014.*

*56. The Writ Appeal in W.A.No.2214 of 2018, for the reasons stated supra is allowed. A further direction is issued to the Authority to consider the case of the first respondent for grant of approval submitted by the Management School, within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In considering so, the Clauses (b), (c) and (d) of the earlier paragraph would also apply to the case of the first respondent also.*

*57. It is also made clear that if any grant of approval is made, then such approval shall be notionally granted to the respective Teachers from the date of appointment. They will be entitled to monetary benefits only from the date of this order.*

*58. From the narration of facts, we have found that there is no co-ordination between the Officers of the*



WEB COPY

*Government in respect of the disposal of the cases, that is a decision made by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court are not being placed before another Co-ordinate Bench, be that in the Principal Seat at Madras or the Bench at Madurai. The Chief Secretary of the State of Tamil Nadu is hereby directed to issue necessary circular to both its Officers and also the Law Officers to circulate the judgments made by the High Court when such cases involve a State wide ratification.*

*59. Registry is also directed to mark a copy of this order to the Chief Secretary of Tamil Nadu.*

*60. With the aforesaid directions, these Writ Petitions are disposed of. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.”*

3. So, the respondent is required to pass individual orders depending upon the cases of the individual Writ Petitioners. So far as the petitioner in Contempt Petition in Cont.P.No.1576 of 2025 is concerned, it is learnt that a favourable order has been passed and an approval order is also going to be issued shortly. As far as the other petitioners are concerned, it appears that the respondent is not satisfied and they may not get favourable orders from the respondent.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the reason stated for rejecting the proposal as against the petitioners is contrary to the observations made by the Division Bench.

5. In such case, it is open to the petitioners to challenge those orders in the manner known to law.

6. Accordingly, these Contempt Petitions are closed.

**07-11-2025**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GSK



CONT P No.626 of 2



To

Priyatarshny  
Director of School Education,  
Puducherry - 605 005.



WEB COPY

CONT P No.626 of 2



**DR.R.N.MANJULA J.**

**GSK**

**CONT P NO. 626 OF 2025,CONT P NO. 760 OF 2025,  
CONT P NO. 599 OF 2025**

**07-11-2025**