



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-02-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

WP No. 6149 of 2025

1. M.Mamtha

D/o. Mallea Gowd Gopalan, No.87

Btm Dollars Layout, 5th Main Road,

3rd Cross Bangalore - 560 076 Now

Residing At Abdulkalam Nagar, Jaga

Devi Village And Posst, Barugar

Union And Taluk, Krishnagiri District-

635 203.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector

Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.

2.The District Revenue Officer

Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.

3.THASILDAR


Denkanikotta Taluk, Krishnagiri

District.

4.Taluk Surveyor

Denkanikotta Taluk, Krishnagiri

District.



5. Gopal Reddy

S/o. Abi Reddy, Kupatti Village ,
Denkanikotta Taluk, Krishnagiri
District.

6. RAVI

S/o. Gangappa, Kupatti Village,
Denkanikotta Taluk, Krishnagiri
District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER


Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to take necessary action to consider the representation dated 18.11.2024 given by the petitioner and pass orders.

For Petitioner(s): NARENDRAN R
V.B.JAYACHANDRAN
B.GOKULPRIYAN

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.R.Gokulkrishnan, Agp For
Respondents

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for the issue of writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to take action on the representation made by the petitioner on 18.11.2024 wherein the petitioner was seeking for the cancellation




UDR Scheme.

WEB COPY

2. Heard Mr.R.Narendran, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.M.R.Gokul Krishnan, learned Additional Government Pleader for respondents 1 and 2.

3. In the considered view of this Court, the grievance expressed by the petitioner cannot be dealt with by the 1st respondent, since the mistake had taken place during the UDR scheme. It is the 2nd respondent, who is the competent authority to deal with the grievance expressed by the petitioner. Apart from the change in the revenue records that was made in favour of the respondents 5 and 6, the further grievance of the petitioner is that some of her property has also been classified as cemetery and poramboke land. In view of the same, there shall be a direction to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation before the 2nd respondent along with all the relevant documents. On receipt of the same, the 2nd respondent shall deal with the representation after affording an opportunity to the respondents 5 and 6, on its own merits and in accordance with law and final orders shall be passed within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of the representation from the petitioner. The DRO shall consider all the grievance that has been expressed by the petitioner and if ultimately it requires reclassification, the matter shall be referred to the District Collector.



WEB COPY

costs.

4. This writ petition is disposed of with the above directions. No

26-02-2025

rka

To

1.The District Collector
Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.

2.The District Revenue Officer
Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.

3.THASILDAR
Denkanikotta Taluk, Krishnagiri
District.

4.Taluk Surveyor
Denkanikotta Taluk, Krishnagiri
District.

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No



WEB COPY

WP No. 6149 of 2025



N.ANAND VENKATESH J.

rka

WP No. 6149 of 2025

26-02-2025