



CRL OP NO. 3353 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-02-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

**CRL OP NO. 3353 of 2025**

E Sundaramoorthi  
Son of Mr.Ezhumalai, No 39/40 Road Street, Minnalkilminnal  
Minnal Sithamur Kancheepuram 603307 and 2 Others

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by the Inspector of police  
Orathy Police Station, Chengalpattu. Cr. No.10/2025

Respondent(s)

**CRL OP NO. 3353 of 2025**

**For Petitioner(s):**

R vinayaga vishnu  
T.Suriya  
B.Premn Kumar  
M.Martin  
A.Vignesh Sai

**For Respondent(s):**

Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

The petitioner(s), who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(2), seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity that existed between the

petitioner(s) and the *de facto* complainant, the latter was attacked and injured. Hence, the case.



WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submitted that the petitioner(s) are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that there is a case and counter case and the petitioner(s) are ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) .

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital and there is a case and counter case, hence, opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s).

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the submissions made by either side, the injured was discharged from the hospital, there is a case and counter case and custodial interrogation of the petitioner(s) is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) subject to the following conditions:

(a) Accordingly, the petitioner(s) are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>

on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of



this order, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Maduranthakam** on con t

the petitioner(s) shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only),

with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the

WEB COPY

police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, failing

which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner(s) shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m, until further orders;

[c] the petitioner(s) shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner(s) shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner(s) in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner(s) released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section.

**12-02-2025**

**vca**



SUNDER M



To

1. State rep by the Inspector of police  
Orathy Police Station,  
Chengalpattu.  
Cr. No.10/2025

**CRL OP NO. 3353 of 2025**

**12.02.2025**