



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **07-02-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 3046 of 2025

Kumar
S/o Ramalingam

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep. By The Inspector of
Police,
Orathy Police Station, Chengalpattu District
(Crime.No.11 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

M.Kalaiyaran
E.Dhamodaran

For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 109 and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.11 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused abused the public in filthy language. When the defacto complainant's



father questioned them. the accused abused him in filthy language, assaulted with a stone on the back of his head and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that it is a case and case in counter. The injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. He further submits that there is no previous case pending against this petitioner.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the complaint and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the investigation, this Court is inclined to



grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Maduranthakam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as



WEB COPY



SUNDER MOHAN , J.

rpl

laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

07.02.2025

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

rpl

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Orathy Police Station, Chengalpattu District

2.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.

CrI.O.P.No.3046 of 2025