



W.P.No.4785 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.02.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SENTHILKUMAR

W.P.No.4785 of 2025

Muthuveerapan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Secretary,
Bar Council of India,
No.21, Rouse Avenue,
Institutional Area ITO,
Near Bal Bhavan,
New Delhi – 110 002.

2.The Secretary,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and Puducherry,
High Court Campus,
Chennai – 600 104.

3.The Coimmissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police,
Vepery, Chennai.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Maduravoyal Police Station,
Chennai.

5.Shenbagababu, Advocate

6.Saraswathi, Advocate

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,



W.P.No.4785 of 2025

praying for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to take action against the respondents 5 & 6 under the provisions of Advocates Act 1967 by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 09.12.2024


For Petitioner	: Ms.Kirthika for Mr.C.Raghavan
For R1 & R2	: Mr.C.K.Chandrasekar, Bar Council (Tamil Nadu)
For R3 & R4	: Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *M.S.RAMESH, J.*)

The grievance of the petitioner herein appears to be that the private respondents 5 and 6, who are Advocates by profession and who were inducted as the petitioner's tenants, have not vacated his property.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is contemplating to initiate eviction proceedings before the appropriate court of law against the respondents 5 and 6.



W.P.No.4785 of 2025

3. We are of the view that the grievance of the petitioner would not amount to a professional misconduct that may warrant action from the Bar Council of Tamil Nadu against these practising lawyers. If at all the petitioner is aggrieved against the respondents 5 and 6 in not vacating the premises, the appropriate remedy would be to approach the appropriate Rent Control Board and to have his grievance redressed. As such, the prayer sought for in this petition cannot be sustained.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs.

[M.S.R, J.]

[N.S, J.]

14.02.2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order

Sni



WEB COPY



W.P.No.4785 of 2025

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
N. SENTHILKUMAR, J.

Sni

To

1.The Secretary,
Bar Council of India,
No.21, Rouse Avenue,
Institutional Area ITO,
Near Bal Bhavan, New Delhi – 110 002.

2.The Secretary,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and Puducherry,
High Court Campus, Chennai – 600 104.

3.The Coimmissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police,
Vepery, Chennai.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Maduravoyal Police Station,
Chennai.

5.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

W.P.No.4785 of 2025

14.02.2025