



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.2868 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-02-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 2868 of 2025

Tamilarasan Kamalakannen
S/o Kamalakannan, No.7/5, Govinda Maistry
Street. Doby Colony, Ambattur, Tiruvallur-600
053

Petitioner(s)

Vs

THE STATE OF TAMIL NADU Represented by
its Inspector of Police, POLICE K2
AYANAVARAM POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI (Crime No. 344 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Kably Taiyab Khan k

For Respondent(s): Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A), 25 and 29(1) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 in Crime No.344 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.2868 of 202॥



2. The case of the prosecution is that, based on a secret information, the respondent police went to the spot, they found that the accused persons namely A1 and A2 were in illegal possession of 14.06 grams of OG ganja; that upon investigation, they confessed that the petitioner herein had also involved in the aforesaid offence. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case, based on the confession of the co-accused; that the petitioner had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent confirms the fact that the contraband was seized from A1 and



A2 and based on their confession, the petitioner herein has been implicated in this case; that the petitioner has two other previous cases for the major offences under Sections 341, 384 and 337 of IPC; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, quantity of the contraband involved in this case, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioner has been implicated based on the confession of the co-accused, the petitioner is on bail in other cases and since, custodial interrogation is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days



WEB COPY

from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Metropolitan Magistrate -V, Egmore at Chennai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



Crl.O.P.No.2868 of 2025

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

10.02.2025

stn

To

1. THE STATE OF TAMIL NADU Represented by its
Inspector of Police,
POLICE K2 AYANAVARAM
POLICE STATION, CHENNAI
(Crime No. 344 of 2024)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2868 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.2868 of 2025

10.02.2025