



WEB COPY



Crl O.P.No.2911 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **06-02-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 2911 of 2025

A.Saravanan
S/o.Arunachamlam, No.69/10, Bakthavachala
Athur Nagar, Village and Post, Chengalpattu
Taluk and District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu District. Cr.No.41/2025.

Respondent(s)

For Appellant(s):

Mr.Punniakoti Ganesan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296 (b), 324(4), 118(1), 115(2), 109 and 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.41 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioner and *de facto* complainant, as a result of which the latter was attacked with wooden log and sustained injuries. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police per contra submitted that due to previous enmity, quarrel arose between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant as a result of which the *de facto* complainant was attacked with wooden log., admitted and discharged from hospital and also the co-accused was arrested and released on bail. Hence, opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representations made by both sides, as the *de facto* complainant has been discharged from the hospital, there is no previous case as against the petitioner, the co-accused was arrested and released on bail and since custodial interrogation is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

(a) Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Chengalpattu** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



WEB COPY



Crl O.P.No.2911 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

vca

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

06.02.2025

vca

To,

The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station, Chengalpattu District.
Cr.No.41/2025.



WEB COPY



Crl O.P.No.2911 of 2025

CRL OP NO. 2911 of 2025