



CrI.O.P.No.2689 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.02.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CrI.O.P.No.2689 of 2025

Rajkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Arambakkam Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Crime No.531 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with Crime No.531 of 2024, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M/s. D. Thirugnanam

For Respondent : Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)



CrI.O.P.No.2689 of 2025


WEB COPY

ORDER

Petition seeking bail in respect of Crime No.531 of 2024 registered for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 311 and 309(4) of BNS, is on board for consideration.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, while the defacto complainant was in a tyre shop in Arumbakkam junction, the petitioner along with other accused persons came in auto, entered the shop, closed the shutter and demanded money, when the same was refused by the defacto complainant, the petitioner and other accused threatened her using knife, snatched her gold chain and cell phone. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, that the petitioner was arrested under the Goondas Act and the same was revoked, however, a false case has been foisted against the petitioner and he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.11.2024, and that the petitioner is ready to



Crl.O.P.No.2689 of 2025

abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and to appear and co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, per contra submitted that the petitioner along with other accused snatched defacto complainant's gold chain, mobile phone and cash of Rs.2,000/- at knife point; that the petitioner is a history sheeter and has 38 previous cases, therefore, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioner has bad antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2689 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

04.02.2025

stn

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Arambakkam Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

Crl.O.P.No.2689 of 2025