



C.R.P.No.714 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.No.714 of 2024
and C.M.P.No.3608 of 2024

1. G.R.Surender

2. Madhavimanimizhi

3. Rajendiran

4. Aarthi

... Petitioners

vs.

Lavanya

... Respondent

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, to call for the records and quash the complaint in DVC No.21 of 2023 pending on the file of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court at Neyveli.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Shankar

For Respondent : Mr.R.Karthikeyan



C.R.P.No.714 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

The Civil Revision Petition is filed seeking to quash the complaint preferred by the respondent/wife under the provisions of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 in DVC No.21 of 2023 on the file of District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Neyveli.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the respondent has filed a petition for divorce in HMOP.No.105 of 2022. Thereafter, by way of an afterthought, the respondent has filed the present complaint before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Neyveli. It is further submitted that the averments contained in the complaint are very vague and do not constitute sufficient grounds for issuance of process against the petitioners.

3. The Full Bench of this Court in the case of *Arul Daniel and Others Versus Suganya* reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435 held that any person aggrieved by the process issued by the Judicial Magistrate can go before the very same Judicial Magistrate and raise preliminary objections with regard to the issues like existence of a shared household/domestic



relationship etc. If any order is passed, the aggrieved person can also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005. The relevant portion reads as follows:-

“87(vii). As there is no issuance of process as contemplated under Section 204, Cr.P.C. in a proceeding under the D.V. Act, the principle laid down in Adalat Prasad v. Rooplal Jindal ((2004) 7 SCC 338) that a process, under Section 204, Cr.P.C, once issued cannot be reviewed or recalled, will not apply to a proceeding under the D.V. Act. Consequently, it would be open to an aggrieved respondent (s) to approach the Magistrate and raise the issue of maintainability and other preliminary issues. Issues like the existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc., which form the jurisdictional basis for entertaining an application under Section 12, can be determined as a preliminary issue, in appropriate cases. Any person aggrieved by such an order may also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the D.V. Act for effective redress (See V.K. Vijayalekshmi Amma v. Bindu V., (2010) 87 AIC 367). This would stem the deluge of petitions challenging the maintainability of an application under Section 12 of the D.V. Act, at the threshold before this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution.”



C.R.P.No.714 of 2024

4. In view of the categorical pronouncement of law by the Full Bench of this Court that a person aggrieved by issuance of process can very well approach the concerned Judicial Magistrate raising preliminary issues and hence, this Court is not inclined to exercise its supervisory power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. When petitioners have remedy before Regular Magistrate, as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Virudhunagar Nadargal Dharma Paripalana Shabha Vs Tuticorin Educational Society*** reported in ***MANU/SC/1365/2019***, this Court need not exercise its supervisory jurisdiction.

5. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the very same District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Neyveli raising preliminary issues. If any such application is filed and preliminary issue with regard to the maintainability of the petition against revision petitioners is raised, the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Neyveli shall consider and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible.

6. The complaint preferred by the respondent seeking various orders under Sections 12, 18(a), 19(1)(f), 19(5), 20(1)(b)(3) and 22 of the



C.R.P.No.714 of 2024

Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, are predominantly civil in nature and therefore, this Court is inclined to dispense with the personal appearance of the petitioners before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Neyveli, unless their presence is absolutely necessary. No costs. Consequently, the connected civil miscellaneous petition is closed.

12.12.2025

Index : Yes / No
Speaking order : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
kv

To

The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Neyveli.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.714 of 2024

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

kv

C.R.P.No.714 of 2024

12.12.2025