



CrI.O.P.No.3549 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.02.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

CrI.O.P.No.3549 of 2025

and

CrI.M.P.No. 2318 of 2025

1. Sajeep Ur Rahaman
2. Shameel Bin Jameel

.....

Petitioners

Vs

1. Union Territory of Puducherry,
Rep.By, The Station House Officer,
P.S.Cyber Crime,
Puducherry U.T-605 006.

2. B.C.Kirtty
Inspector Of Police,
P.S.Cyber Crime,
Puducherry U.T-605 006.

3. Andrews

.....

Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2025, to call for the records with respect to FIR No.46 of 2023 dated 09.09.2023, registered at P.S.Cyber under Sections 420 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 along with all consequential actions/proceedings thereto as against petitioners.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.3549 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mrs.Sharon Elizabeth V.S
For R1 : Mr.K.S.Mohandass
Public Prosecutor (Puducherry)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR registered in Crime No.6 of 2023.

2. The case of the prosecution is that a fraudulent online transaction involving the Company's bank account took place.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are erstwhile Directors of the Company and have no way connected with the allegations.

4. On instruction, the learned Public Prosecutor (Puducherry) appearing for the first respondent would submit that after registering an FIR, during the investigation, there are *prima-facie* materials as against the petitioners to attract the offence under Sections 420 and 506 of IPC.

5. Heard the learned Counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

6. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific




Crl.O.P.No.3549 of 2025

allegations as against the petitioner to attract the offence, which has to be

investigated in depth. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts and it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

7. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in the judgment reported in **2019 (14) SCC 350** in the case of ***Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekhar vs. The State of Maharashtra & ors., (Crl.A.No.255 of 2019*** dated **12.02.2019**) held that the learned Magistrate while taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with the view to taking cognizance of the offence whether a *prima facie* case has been made out for summoning the accused person. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the materials or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to conviction or not. Only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence or is frivolous, vexatious or oppressive, the complaint/FIR can be taken for consideration for quashment. If the allegations



set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has

been taken by Magistrate, it can be considered for quashment. Therefore, it is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification to interfere. At the initial stage of issuance of process, it is no open to the Court to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Therefore, the criminal complaint cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are *prima facie* made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted.

8. Further the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India issued directions in the judgment reported in **2021 SCC Online SC 315** in the case of ***M/s.Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Vs. State of Maharashtra & ors.***, as follows :-

“23.

vi) *Criminal proceedings ought not to be scuttled at the initial stage;*

vii) *Quashing of a complaint/FIR should be an exception rather than an ordinary rule;*



WEB COPY


.....

xii) *The first information report is not an encyclopaedia which must disclose all facts and details relating to the offence reported. Therefore, when the investigation by the police is in progress, the court should not go into the merits of the allegations in the FIR. Police must be permitted to complete the investigation. It would be premature to pronounce the conclusion based on hazy facts that the complaint/FIR does not deserve to be investigated or that it amounts to abuse of process of law. After investigation, if the investigating officer finds that there is no substance in the application made by the complainant, the investigating officer may file an appropriate report/summary before the learned Magistrate which may be considered by the learned Magistrate in accordance with the known procedure;*

.....

xv) *When a prayer for quashing the FIR is made by the alleged accused and the court when it exercises the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., only has to consider whether the allegations in the FIR disclose commission of a cognizable offence or not. The court is not required to consider on merits whether or not the merits of the allegations make out a cognizable offence and the court has to permit the investigating agency/police to investigate the allegations in the FIR;”*

9. In view of the above discussions, this Court is not inclined to



CrI.O.P.No.3549 of 2025

quash the FIR in Crime No.46 of 2023 on the file of the first respondent police.

The first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.46 of 2023 and file a final report as expeditiously as possible.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

12.02.2025

Lpp

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

To

1. The Station House Officer,
P.S.Cyber Crime,
Puducherry U.T-605 006.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
Puducherry.

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Lpp



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.3549 of 2025

CRL.O.P.No.3549 of 2025

12.02.2025