



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **05-02-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 2358 of 2025

Raman @ Captan Raman
S/o Betarayan, 2/298E, Samathuvapuram,
Kattinayanapalli, Krishnagiri District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State By, The Inspector Of Police,
Maharajakadai Police Station, Krishnagiri
District. (Crime No. 11 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

P M Jayachandran
V. Indumathi
B. Krishnakanth
H. Abdul Muthalif

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 108 and 56 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Santiya (BNS) 2023, in Crime No.11 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a dispute between the de-facto complainant and the accused with regard to the administration of the Temple. Further, the allegations is that the petitioner, along with other accused harrassed the de-facto complainant by making false allegations on him. This resulted in the de-facto complainant sufferring mental agony and leading him to commit suicide by pouring diesel and setting himself on fire. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that, even as per the dying declaration and the complaint given by the de-facto complainant, the case of abetment is not made out. Further, he submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prays that anticipatory bail be granted to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police submits that the deceased and the accused belongs to the same village. The petitioner, along with the other accoused



had a dispute regarding the maintainance of the Temple since 2018. The deceased/de-facto complainant, along with others from the Village, asked for ledger and other details of the Temple, which led to a dispute. Thereafter, the petitioner, along with the other accused, lodged a complaint against the deceased /de-facto complainant alleging that he was involved in gambling. All the accused, including the petitioner herein signed the complaint. Due to this frustration, the deceased/de-facto complainant committed suicide by pouring diesel, resulting in 65% of burn injuries. He was declared dead by the doctor. The deceased gave a dying declaration before two learned Judicial Magistrates, and the same was recorded, in which he specifically mentioned the names, viz., Samundi (A6), Ashokkumar (A7), Subramani @ Kutty (who was already arrested), Jayaganthan (A13) and Ramachandiran (A14). The co-accused were arrested and released on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) and also perused the materials available on record, including the dying declaration of the victim/de-facto complainant.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of the offence charged against the petitioner and also taking note of the fact that in the dying declaration, the deceased/de-facto complainant has specifically mentioned the names of a few accused, the petitioner's name was not mentioned, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri District.** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall stay at Madurai and report before the Othakadai Police Station daily at 10:30 P.M.,



until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during the investigation or during the trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during the investigation or during the trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner was released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[g] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

05.02.2025

rpl

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Maharajakadai Police Station, Krishnagiri District.

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras,
Chennai

SUNDER MOHAN,J.



WEB COPY



rpl

CRL OP NO. 2358 of 2025

05.02.2025