



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.2915 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.No.2915 of 2025

and

C.M.P.No.16441 of 2025

1. Mr.Jonnalagadda Paleswara Shyama Sundar,
S/o.Late Venkata Lakshmi Narasimha Murthy
 2. Mrs.Jonnalagadda Satya Gayathri,
W/o. Mr.Jonnalagadda Paleswara Shyama Sundar ... Petitioners
- vs.

1. Mrs. Hota Aruna Sai Venkata Sree Ramani,
W/o. Mr.Jonnalagadda Venkata Lakshmi Aditya Pranay
2. Mr. Sri Jonnalagadda Venkata Lakshmi Aditya Pranay,
S/o.Jonnalagadda Paleswara Syama Sundar
3. Mr. Sri Sarva V.R.Srinivas,
4. Mr.Jonnalagadda Rajeswar,
S/o. Late Venkata Lakshmi Narasimha Murthy ... Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, to strike off the complaint of the 1st respondent in D.V.C.NO.19 of 2024 pending on the file of the Hon'ble Additional Mahila Court cum Judicial Magistrate Court at Alandur.

For Petitioners : Mr.J.N.Naresh Kumar

For Respondents : M/s.Majestic Law Firm [R1]
[R2 & R3] – No appearance



C.R.P.No.2915 of 2025

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed seeking to strike off the complaint made by the first respondent under the provisions of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 pending on the file of the Additional Mahila Court cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Alandur.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners never shared a household with the first respondent and therefore, the Magistrate ought not to have issued process against the petitioners. It is further submitted that the allegations made in the complaint are not sufficient to form an opinion regarding the commission of any act of Domestic Violence.

3. The Full Bench of this Court in the case of *Arul Daniel and Others Versus Suganya* reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435 held that any person aggrieved by the process issued by the Judicial Magistrate can go before the very same Judicial Magistrate and raise preliminary objections with regard to the issues like existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc. If any order is passed, the aggrieved person can also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005. The relevant



portion reads as follows:-

“87(vii). As there is no issuance of process as contemplated under Section 204, Cr.P.C. in a proceeding under the D.V. Act, the principle laid down in Adalat Prasad v. Rooplal Jindal ((2004) 7 SCC 338) that a process, under Section 204, Cr.P.C, once issued cannot be reviewed or recalled, will not apply to a proceeding under the D.V. Act. Consequently, it would be open to an aggrieved respondent (s) to approach the Magistrate and raise the issue of maintainability and other preliminary issues. Issues like the existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc., which form the jurisdictional basis for entertaining an application under Section 12, can be determined as a preliminary issue, in appropriate cases. Any person aggrieved by such an order may also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the D.V. Act for effective redress (See V.K. Vijayalekshmi Amma v. Bindu V., (2010) 87 AIC 367). This would stem the deluge of petitions challenging the maintainability of an application under Section 12 of the D.V. Act, at the threshold before this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution.”

4. In view of the categorical pronouncement of law by the Full Bench of this Court that a person aggrieved by issuance of process can very well approach the concerned Judicial Magistrate raising preliminary issues and hence, this Court is not inclined to exercise its supervisory



C.R.P.No.2915 of 2025

power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. When petitioner has remedy before regular Magistrate as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Virudhunagar Nadargal Dharma Paripalana Shabha Vs Tuticorin Educational Society* reported in *MANU/SC/1365/2019*, this Court need not exercise its supervisory jurisdiction.

5. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the very same Judicial Magistrate viz., Hon'ble Additional Mahila Court cum Judicial Magistrate Court at Alandur raising preliminary issues. If any such application is filed and preliminary issue with regard to the maintainability of the petition against revision petitioners is raised, the Judicial Magistrate shall consider the same and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible. Taking into consideration the allegations made in the complaint, the personal appearance of the petitioners is dispensed with before the Hon'ble Additional Mahila Court cum Judicial Magistrate Court at Alandur, unless their personal appearance is absolutely necessary. No costs. Consequently, connected civil miscellaneous petitions are closed.

18.12.2025

Index : Yes / No
Speaking order : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
mp

4/6



C.R.P.No.2915 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

1. The Additional Mahila Court cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Alandur.
2. V.R.Section,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.2915 of 2025

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

mp

C.R.P.No.2915 of 2025

18.12.2025