



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2200 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.2200 of 2025

Immanuel Tilak

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
(Crime No.77 of 2025).

... Respondent

For Petitioner : M/s.P.J.Anitha

For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No. 77 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 3(2)(a), 4(2)(c) and 5(1)(d) of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, the present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.2200 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court. He also submits that the petitioner is the owner of the Spa and without the knowledge of the petitioner, the other accused had committed the offence. He also submits that the petitioner is the resident of Chennai. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this court.

3. The case of the prosecution, as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner under the guise of running a spa was involved in prostitution. He further submits that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions and accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen days



Crl.O.P.No.2200 of 2025

from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 6.30 p.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2200 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.

drl

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

30.01.2025

drl

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.2200 of 2025