



CRL OP NO. 3091 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-02-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 3091 of 2025

BALAKRISHNAN

No 32 Tambi Durai Street Attayampatti Salem District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep By The Sub Inspector Of Police
Attaiyampatti Police Station, Salem District, Crime No.268 Of
2024

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Sasikumar R
A.Tamilselvan
B.Sasikala
A.J.Magendiraverman
Y.Akash Mathew

For Respondent(s):


Mr.S.Santhosh, Government Advocate (crl Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 118(1), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.268 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant viz., Aravindan, is that on

28.10.2024, at about 10.00 p.m., when he and his brother were travelling on his motor



cycle near Attaiyampatti Circle, a wordy quarrel arose between the accused

defacto complainant, due to which, the petitioner along with other accused had attacked

the defacto complainant and his brother with wooden log. Thereby, the defacto

WEB COPY

complainant has sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to abide by any other stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent Police, while opposing for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, would submit that there was a wordy quarrel arose between the accused and the defacto complainant, due to which, the petitioner along with other accused had attacked the defacto complainant and his brother with wooden log. He would further submit that the petitioner has nine previous cases, out of which, four cases are disposed of.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and submissions made by the learned Government Advocate and also considering the fact that the earlier petition was dismissed since the petitioner has nine previous cases and now having found that is



wasting the Court's time, the petitioner is directed to pay the cost of Rs.5,000/

Chief Justice Relief Fund within a period of four(4) weeks from the date of receipt of a

सर्वोच्च न्यायालय
copy of this order and this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner .

WEB COPY

6. This Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed accordingly.

07-02-2025

msv

To
The Sub Inspector Of Police
Attaiyampatti Police Station,
Salem District,