



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1681 of 2015

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.DHANDAPANI**

Cont.P.No.1681 of 2015

M.Sivaprakasam

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. Dhatchanamoorthy
The General Manager (HRM),
Indian Bank,
Corporate Office,
No.254 – 260, Avvai Shanmugam Salai,
Royapettah, Chennai – 600 014.

2. S.Ravindran

...Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, seeking to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the order dated 10.04.2015 passed in W.P.No.5175 of 2015.

For Petitioner : R.Sathiyamoorthi (No Appearance)
For Respondents : No appearance

ORDER

This Contempt Petition has been filed seeking to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the order dated 10.04.2015 passed by this Court in W.P.No.5175 of 2015.



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1681 of 2015

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

rap

2. This Court vide order dated 10.04.2015 has issued a direction to the first respondent to consider the representation dated 20.01.2015 seeking to reinstate the petitioner in the post of Jewel Appraiser in the 2nd respondent's branch with all monetary benefits. Since the same was not considered, the present contempt petition has been filed. Though the contempt petition has been filed as against the respondents, however, without challenging the termination order passed by the management before the Labour Court u/s.2(A)2 of Industrial Disputes Act, filing the present contempt petition is *per se* not sustainable. Hence, there is no wilful disobedience on the part of the respondents.

3. In view of the above, this Contempt Petition stands closed.

14.03.2025

rap

NCC : Yes/No

Speaking Order : Yes/No

Cont.P.No.1681 of 2015