



Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

Ravi @ Rasheed

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Brammadesam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.71 of 2008).

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with Crime No.71 of 2008, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.R.Appaswamee

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Petition seeking bail in respect of S.C.No.121 of 2013 pending trial on the file of the learned Principal Subordinate Court, Cheyyar, in connection with Crime No.71 of 2008 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341,



Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

323, 324, 307, 307 read with Section 368 and Section 34 of IPC, is on board for consideration.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an accused, facing trial in S.C.No.121 of 2013 pending on the file of the learned Principal Subordinate Court, Cheyyar. He further submits that due to illness, the petitioner was unable to appear before the trial court, thereby, a non-bailable warrant was issued against him on 19.12.2018. Subsequently, petitioner was also affected with eye pressure and his eye sight became very poor and he was also affected by Covid -19 and also the petitioner's counsel on record Mr.Kothandan died and thereby, petitioner was unaware of the non-bailable warrant issued against him. He also submits that the petitioner undertakes that he will appear before the trial Court on all hearing dates without fail and he will co-operate for speedy disposal of the trial. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent, while objecting for grant of bail, submits that the petitioner, who is facing trial in S.C.No.121 of 2013 before the learned Principal Subordinate Court, Cheyyar, has failed to appear before the trial Court, thereby, the trial Judge has issued a Non-Bailable Warrant of arrest against him on 19.12.2018 and the same



Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

was executed on 08.01.2025 and the case is now posted for fresh examination of LW1 to LW5. He further submit that, petitioner has also changed his name to a Muslim name.

4. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, petitioner has married a Muslim lady and thereby he has changed his name to a Muslim name. He further submit that, apart from this case, there is no previous case as against him.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions and accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties** (out of which, one of the sureties should be the blood related surety of the petitioner), each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyar**, and on further conditions that :



Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyar on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond during trial and shall co-operate for speedy disposal of the trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness during trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

29.01.2025

Sma



Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyar
2. The Inspector of Police,
Brammadesam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Vellore
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.2122 of 2025

29.01.2025