



WEB COPY

WP No. 3565 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-12-2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 3565 of 2025

1.SASIKALA, W/o Manavalan No 6/58, Mettu
Street, Vridhachalam Cuddalore District
2.RAJALAKSHMI, W/o Ranganathan No 5/2
Kalvai Kandigai Kalvai Post Chengalpattu District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The District Revenue Officer, Chengalpattu,
Chengalpattu District
2.The Thasildar, Pallavaram, Chennai
3.The Executive Officer, Arulmigu Arkeeswarar
Temple Pammal Chennai

..Respondent(s)

call for the records in Na.Ka. No 16553 / 2023 / A4 dated
19.11.2024 passed by the 1st respondent and quash the same and consequently
direct the 2nd respondent to issue patta to the petitioners' property in S. No
295 / 41 extent of 44 cents and S. No 295/42 extent of 38.5 cents in
Thiruneermalai Village, Pallavaram Taluk, Chengalpattu District within the
time frame fixed by this honble Court.

For Petitioner(s):

MR. S.SARATH CHANDRAN

For Respondent(s):

MR.D. RAVICHANDER,SGP FOR R1 & R2
MR.K.KARTHIKEYAN FOR R3

ORDER



This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 19.11.2024 passed by the first respondent rejecting the petitioner's request to issue patta in their favour for the property morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition, on the ground that only based on a registered sale deed bearing document No.206/1968, patta was issued earlier in favour of the third respondent.

2. The petitioner has raised various contentions in this writ petition for the purpose of establishing that they are the owners of the property and that erroneously patta was issued in favor of the third respondent temple.

3. The petitioner claims that the document dated 12.04.1962 said to have executed by the petitioner's father Chellappa Naidu, in favour of Venupillai is a fabricated document.

4. The contention of the petitioner as raised in this writ petition has been disputed by the respondents as seen from the counter affidavit filed by them before this Court. There is no clinching evidence placed on record in the form of revenue records or in the form of the court orders to exclusively establish that the petitioners are the owners of the subject property. Further, the sale deed bearing document No.206/1968, based on which patta was issued in favour of



the third respondent temple, is of the year 1968. In view of the same, the dispute which is the subject matter of this writ petition cannot be decided in a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Only by letting in oral and documentary evidence and by filing a suit for declaration that the petitioners are the absolute owners of the subject property, the petitioners will be able to prove their title over the subject property. Since there are disputed questions of fact involved, this Court in a writ petition cannot interfere with the impugned order, since petitioners will have to prove their case only by filing a civil suit seeking for declaration of title.

5. For the foregoing reasons, this Court does not find any merit in this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioners to file a civil suit to redress their grievance in the manner known to them under law. No Costs. Connected WMP.No.3945 of 2025 is closed.

09-12-2025

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
RKM



WEB COPY

WP No. 3565 of 2



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

RKM

To

- 1.The District Revenue Officer, Chengalpattu,
Chengalpattu District
- 2.The Thasildar, Pallavaram, Chennai
- 3.The Executive Officer, Arulmigu Arkeeswarar
Temple Pammal Chennai

WP No. 3565 of 2025

09-12-2025