



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

Prasanth

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu, Rep. by:
The Inspector of Police,
P-6, Kodungaiyur Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.828 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with Crime No.828 of 2024, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Samson

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Petition seeking bail in respect of Crime No.828 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(B), 25 and 29(1) of



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, is on board for consideration.

2.The incarceration of the petitioner/A3 being from 24.11.2024 pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner and false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court. He also submits that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence and also there is no recovery from the petitioner herein, however, he was arrested only based on the confession statement recorded from the other accused. He further reiterates that though the commercial quantity of contraband is stated to have been recovered from the main accused, there is no recovery from the petitioner/A3 and other than the confession statement, there is no other material to connect the petitioner to the crime. He also submits that the co accused was granted bail in Crl.OP.No.492 of 2025 dated 21.01.2025. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3.The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of bail, is that based on the secret information, the respondent and his team conducted a search near Kodungaiyur, back side of Donbosco school, during which, they have spotted a car in a suspicious manner and seized 21.9 kilograms



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

of Ganja, which is a commercial quantity, from it and arrested A1 and A2. He further submits that based on the confession statement recorded from A1 and A2, it reveals that the accused had purchased the contraband from the state of Andhra Pradesh and attempted to sell the same through the other accused for their personal gain.

4.Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record including the counter filed by the respondent Police and taking note of the fact that there is no recovery from the petitioner and that the petitioner has satisfied the conditions required under Section 37 of NDPS Act, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions and accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties (out of which, one of the sureties should be the blood related surety of the petitioner)**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **V Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to



CrI.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 06.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

29.01.2025

sai



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The V Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
P-6, Kodungaiyur Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Puzhal II.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.
sai

Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

29.01.2025