



CRL OP NO. 1843 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 1843 of 2025

Yashwanth

S/o.Venkatasamy Reddy, No.106, Near Basaveshwara Temple,
Ballur, Attibel, Anekal, Bangalore, Karnataka State, Pin-562 107.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by

The Inspector of Police, Sipcot Police Station, Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.429 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

J.Pradeep
K.Balakrishnan
R.Geethalakshmi

For Respondent(s):


S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (criminal Side),madras High Court.

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.429 of 2024 registered for the

offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 126, 109 and 351(3) of

BNS, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.



ned

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in the counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court. He further submits that the co-accused has been released on bail. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any

WEB COPY


stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner, along with other accused, abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him. He further submitted that there is no previous cases pending as against the petitioner. He also submitted that A1 and A2 were arrested, A1 is still in custody and A2 has been released on bail.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the petitioner may be granted bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event

of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a



copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Hosur, on conc the

petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with

two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police

WEB COPY

officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition

that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 06.30 p.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

28-01-2025

Lpp



A.D.JAGADISH CHAND



To
1. The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Sipcot Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.429 of 2024)

CRL OP NO. 1843 of 2025

28.01.2025