



WEB COPY

W.A.No.449 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.09.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
and**

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.SARAVANAN

W.A.No.449 of 2022

and

C.M.P.No.3261 of 2022

M/s.Kiran Global Chems Limited,
Represented by Mr.Tharuvai Ramachandran Ravichandran,
Resolution Professional,
(IBBI/IPA/002/IP-N00241/2017-18/10692)
No.42, New Avadi Road, Kilpauk,
Chennai – 600 010.

... Appellant(s)

Vs.

1. Under Secretary to Government of India,
Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas,
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

2. M/s.GAIL (India) Limited,
Rep. by Zonal Deputy General Manager,
5th Floor, “KUPPU ARCADE”, No.4,
Venkatanarayana Road,
T.Nagar, Chennai – 17.

... Respondent(s)

Prayer: Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 25.10.2021 passed in W.P.No.355 of 2020.



WEB COPY

W.A.No.449 of 2



For Appellant(s) : Mr.S.Sathyannarayanan

For R1 : Mr.K.Ramanamoorthy,
Central Government Standing Counsel

For R2 : Mr.M.Ajmal Khan, Senior Counsel
for Mr.Giridharan Sai and
M/s.Pooja Jain

J U D G M E N T

(Judgment of the Court was made by **S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.**)

The learned Senior Counsel, Mr.Ajmal Khan, appearing on behalf of the second respondent would submit that the agreement came to an end on 05.07.2021 itself. The said agreement was not extend any further and therefore, the cause did not exist and no further adjudication needs to be undertaken.

2. The writ order impugned also shows that the continuation of the agreement cannot be claimed by the appellant/ writ petitioner as a matter of right. It is left to the discretion of the second respondent to either renew the agreement or to decide not to renew the same. The writ court held that the High Court, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the



Constitution of India, cannot direct the extension of the licence period.

WEB COPY

3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Senior Counsel for the second respondent and considering the fact that the expiry of the agreement was well considered by the writ court, no further adjudication needs to be undertaken.

4. The learned counsel for the appellant would submit that he has given change of vakalat and it is brought to the notice of this court that the appellant company has been taken over by the resolution applicant under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. That being so, the writ appeal stands closed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (C.S.N.,J.)
08.09.2025

skr

Index : Yes / No

Speaking order / Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

1. Under Secretary to Government of India,



W.A.No.449 of 2



Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

2. M/s.GAIL (India) Limited, Rep. by Zonal Deputy General Manager,
5th Floor, “KUPPU ARCADE”, No.4, Venkatanarayana Road,
T.Nagar, Chennai – 17.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

W.A.No.449 of 2



S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
and
C.SARAVANAN, J.

skr

W.A.No.449 of 2022

08.09.2025