



CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

Dated : 25.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

Sabeer

... Petitioner / A2

Versus

The State Rep. By

The Inspector of Police,

H-5, New Washermenpet Police Station,

Chennai District.

... Respondent

[Cause title amended as per orders of this Court [SMJ] in Crl.MP No.2666 of 2025 in Crl.OP.No.1785 of 2025 dated 25.02.2025]

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.1379 of 2024 on the file of the respondent Police.

For petitioner : Mr.G.Ezhil Balaji

For Respondent : Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side).

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.11.2024, seeking bail in Crime No.1379 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(b) of NDPS Act r/w 25(1)(A) of Arms Act and



CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

altered to 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C) of the NDPS Act and u/s.25(1A) of the Arms Act, 1959.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that on secret information, the petitioner (A2) along with two other accused were found in possession of 2 kgs of Ganja each; and that from the co-accused/A1, a further quantity of 15 Kgs of Ganja was seized from his residence. Hence, the case.

3.Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the prosecution had initially registered the case under Section 20(b)(ii)(b) of NDPS Act which is for possession of intermediate quantity; that the allegations are false and in any case, the petitioner was found only in possession of 2 kgs of Ganja which is an intermediate quantity and hence, rigors of Section 37 of the NDPS Act would not be applicable to him; He further submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 15.11.2024 and he is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and since further custody is not required, the petitioner may be released on bail.



CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the case of the prosecution and submitted that originally the case was registered for intermediate quantity and since, the total quantity recovered from A1 to A3 was 21 kgs, the case was altered to Section 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C) of the NDPS Act and hence, opposed for grant of bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Admittedly, the petitioner and the other accused were in joint possession of 6 kgs of Ganja, 2 kgs each at the time of seizure; and that on the A1's confession, 15 kgs was seized from A1's residence. Considering the fact that the contraband seized from A1's residence cannot be said to be in joint possession of petitioner/A2 and A3, this Court is of the view that contraband seized from petitioner/A2 and A3 cannot be added to make it commercial quantity. Hence, rigors of Section 37 of the NDPS Act would not be applicable. Since the petitioner was found in possession of 2 kgs which is an intermediate



CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

quantity, considering the period of incarceration and that this Court had granted bail to A1 for the same reasons in CrI.OP.No.790 of 2025 on 24.02.2025, this Court is of the view that further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation and inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the XVI Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai.

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions,



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

25.02.2025

ars



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN. J,

ars

To

1.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
H-5, New Washermenpet Police Station,
Chennai District.

3.The XVI Metropolitan Magistrate,
George Town, Chennai.

4.The Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.

CRL O.P. No.1785 of 2025

25.02.2025