



Crl.O.P.No.1745 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.1745 of 2025

M.Vinothkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Sub - Inspector of Police,
Thimmampettai Police Station,
Tirupattur District.
(Crime No.215 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.215 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Gopinath

For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1745 of 2025

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.215 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section 303 (2) and 62 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2.Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and that a case of RRU - Radio Remote Unit theft has been fabricated against him. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3.The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner along with other accused colluded each other and theft the RRU Unit in the towers for their personal gain.



Crl.O.P.No.1745 of 2025

He further submitted that, apart from this case, petitioner has ten previous cases of similar in nature pending against the petitioner and therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required.

4. Considering the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioner and also the fact that the petitioner has got ten previous cases which are similar in nature pending against him, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

29.01.2025

av



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1745 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

av

Crl.O.P.No.1745 of 2025

29.01.2025