



CrI.O.P.No.1727 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.1727 of 2025

1. D.Chandru
2. G.Das
3. G. Devaraj
4. H.Gunaseelan
5. S.Ajay
6. Kabilan
7. M.Sasikumar
8. M.Raja
9. Aravind
10. Arjun
11. Rakesh
12. Sadaiyan
13. Annamalai

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
T-20, Kanathur Police Station,
Tambaram.
(Crime No.124 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on



Crl.O.P.No.1727 of 2025

anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.124 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Vivekanandan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.124 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 132, 191 (2) and 351 (2) of BNS, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioners seek indulgence of this Court. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are an innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3.The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioners are engaged in occupation of



fishing. They are using purseseine fishing nets within territorial waters as it would be practically impossible for their small boats. Due to which, there arose a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. He also submits that there has no previous cases pending against the petitioners.

4.Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions and accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate at Sholinganallur, Chennai on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:



[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police at 6.30 p.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter on every Saturday at 6.30 p.m until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

29.01.2025

av



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.1727 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

av

CrI.O.P.No.1727 of 2025

29.01.2025