



CRL OP NO. 1675 of 2025
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS



DATED: 24-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

WEB COPY

CRL OP NO. 1675 of 2025

Gopinath
S/o. Durai, 15/43, BajanaiKoil Street, Thukkanampakkam
Pallipattu, Cuddalore District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Repby
The Inspector of Police, Perambalur Police Station, Perambalur.
DT (Crime No.31 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Varatharajan Sundramoorthi
K.Perumalswamy
R.Balamurugan
S.Varatharajan


For Respondent(s):

S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (criminal Side)
Madras High Court.

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 351(3) of BNSS in Crime No.31 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely



implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to procure sureties and to abide with any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, therefore, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. He further submitted that there is one previous case pending against the petitioner, which is similar in nature.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate-I Court, Perambalur** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned,



failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition



that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24-01-2025

MSV
To

The Inspector of Police,
Perambalur Police Station,
Perambalur. DT



WEB COPY

