



CRL OP NO. 1670 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 1670 of 2025

T Ramachandran
Son of Thathan, Maninagar Village, Sundekuppam Post,
Krishnagiri Tk and Dt - 635 101. and another

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.By, The Inspector Of Police,
Gurubarapalli Police Station, Krishnagiri Dt.

Crime No.263 of 2024

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Saritha Sivakumar
J. Bharathiraja

For Respondent(s):


S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (criminal Side)
Madras High Court.

ORDER

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2) and 108 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. in Crime No.263 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that, the deceased Pushparaj, who is the son of the de-facto complainant, had illicit intimacy with one Mrs.Govindammal, wife of Chettiar @ Venkatraman of the same village, who is a mother of two children. The said relationship continued for a decade. Further, it

is alleged in the FIR, that a Panchayat was convened in that regard on 07.10.2024 by the elders, in



which, a large number of village people turned out for the Panchayat, in which, the conduct of the deceased Govindammal was deplored by the Panchayat and ultimately both of them were advised not to continue their illegal relationship. The said Govindammal with whom the deceased had affairs, informed the defacto complainant that the deceased drank poison and was admitted in hospital and he died on 10.10.2024. Hence, this case.


3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners stating that the co-accused had already been arrested and released on bail.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate – II, Krishnagiri, on condition that the petitioners shall execute



a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties

a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to

the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail

shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]. [f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24-01-2025

msv



To
The Inspector Of Police,
Gurubarapalli Police Station,
Krishnagiri Dt.



WEB COPY