



CRL OP NO. 1641 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 1641 of 2025

1. Muthuveni
2. Krishnan
3. Priya

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Sub-inspector Of Police,
Desur Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District. (Crime No.5 of
2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):


Thangavel M R
R. Mekala
C. Anbu
S. Balaguru

For Respondent(s):

S. Santhosh
Government Advocate (criminal Side)
Madras High Court.

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.5 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 3 of TNPPDL Act and Section 191(2), 296(b), 303(2), 329(3), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.



2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners, false implication in the case, learned court

the petitioners seeks indulgence of this Court. He would submit that the petitioners are innocent

persons and have had nothing to do with the allegations made in the complaint. He would submit that

the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that due to a tenancy dispute, the defacto complainant refused to vacate the agricultural land. As a result, the accused damaged the agricultural crops of the defacto complainant and stole the electrical wire and a motor. He would submit that A1 was arrested and is still in custody. He would also submit that the A3 has one previous case and other accused have no previous cases pending against them.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is of the view that the petitioners may be granted bail. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vandavasi, on condition that



the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only),

with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police

officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition

WEB COPY

that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 06.30 p.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

24-01-2025

Lpp

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J



To

1. The Sub-inspector Of Police,
Desur Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.5 of 2025)

CRL OP NO. 1641 of 2025

24.01.2025