



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1637 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.1637 of 2024

P.Krishnamoorthy

.... Petitioner

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
E-5 Arakandanallur Police Station,
Villupuram District.
Cr.No.9/2025.

.... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.9 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.G.Magesh Kumar
For Respondent	:	Mr.S.Santhosh Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.9 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in



Crl.O.P.No.1637 of 2024

the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and that a case of river sand theft has been fabricated against him. He also submits that the petitioner has no previous case against him and is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner illegally transported ½ unit of river sand in a bullock cart for personal use. He would submit that the petitioner has no previous cases pending against him.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

5. In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in *S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020)*,



Crl.O.P.No.1637 of 2024

while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the sand involved is small quantity and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions and accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvennainallur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks, thereafter on every



Crl.O.P.No.1637 of 2024

Saturday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

24.01.2025

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

Lpp

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
E-5 Arakandanallur Police Station,
Villupuram District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1637 of 2024

Lpp

Crl.O.P.No.1637 of 2024

24.01.2025