



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **24-01-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 1576 of 2025

P.Ranjithkumar
S/O. Palani, No. 2/12, Mandapam Junction,
Arpakkam Village, Kancheepuram

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep By,
Inspector of Police, Kanchi Taluk Police Station,
Kanchipuram District (Crime No. 958 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Sanjay Ravichandran
J.Joseph Sundar
R.Harikrishnan
R.Sanjay
Nikhil.J

For Respondent(s):

S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (criminal Side)
Madras High Court.

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.958 of 2024 registered

for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya

Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals

<https://www.mhcd.org.in/> (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, the present petition has been



filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with other accused, illegally transported 4 units of gravel sand in an Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-21-BS-2035, without any approval.

Hence, the case.

3. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and that a case of gravel sand theft has been fabricated against him. He also submits that the petitioner has no previous case against him and without prejudice to the defence and contention, he is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- as non-refundable deposit to any welfare scheme of the Government or any organization. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government



Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the quantity of gravel sand involved is 4 units. He would submit that the petitioner has no previous cases pending against him.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in *S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020)*, while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and



the sand involved is small quantity and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, in order to curb illegal sand mining activities and taking into consideration the voluntary submission made by the petitioner offering to deposit a considerable amount to any charitable organization or association, this Court is of the opinion that as one of the conditions for grant of anticipatory bail, the petitioner may be directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the credit of District Legal Services Authority, Kancheepuram District, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

8.It is made clear that merely because the petitioner is depositing the amount, it would not amount to the petitioner admitting his guilt in the criminal case and such amount is being paid without prejudice to the right of the petitioner.

9.Accordingly, the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of the **District Legal Services Authority,**



Kancheepuram District, and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of payment, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kancheepuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks, thereafter on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J



WEB COPY



[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

24-01-2025

Lpp

To

1. State Rep By,
Inspector of Police,
Kanchi Taluk Police Station,
Kanchipuram District
(Crime No. 958 of 2024)

CRL OP NO. 1576 of 2025