



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.12.2025

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006
in
W.P.Nos.1342, 1343, 1344, 2077 & 2087 of 2001
and
M.P.Nos.1,1,1 & 1 of 2006**

Rev.A.No.64 of 2006

The Union of India,
represented by
Chief General Manager,
Telecom,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. M.K.Khader Ali.
2. K.L.Suresh
3. G.Krishnamurthy
4. K.Suryanarayanan
5. K.Sekar
6. R.Chinnathambi
7. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Petition filed under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with
Article 226 of the Constitution of India to review the order dated



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

24.07.2006 in W.P.No.1342 of 2001

For Petitioner

: Mr.Vijayakrishnan.R.P.
for Mr.R.Priyakumar

For Respondents

: No appearance for R1 to R6
R7-Tribunal – CAT

Rev.A.No.65 of 2006

1. The Union of India,
represented by
Chief General Manager,
Telecommunications, Tamilnadu Circle,
Chennai – 600 002.
2. Senior Superintendent,
Telegraphic Traffic (Admn)
CTO, madras
3. Sub Divisional Engineer,
Central Telegraphic Office,
Tiruchirappalli.
4. Sub Divisional Engineer,
Telegraphy, Telegraph Office,
Tuticorin.

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. P.Asha
 2. P.Gurusamy Pandi
 3. M.Murugesan
 4. V.Mathiazhagan
 5. G.Ilangovan
 6. K.Natarajan
 7. P.Kadarkaraiyandi
 8. T.Anthonimuthu
- Page 2 of 8



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

9. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Petition filed under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India to review the order dated 24.07.2006 in W.P.No.1343 of 2001

For Petitioners : Mr.Vijayakrishnan.R.P.
for Mr.R.Priyakumar

For Respondents : No appearance for R1 to R8
R9-Tribunal – CAT

Rev.A.No.66 of 2006

The Union of India,
represented by
Chief General Manager,
Telecom, Chennai – 600 002.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. M.Muthukumar

2. M.Radhakrishnan

3. P.Veerassamy

4. K.Samayavel

5. S.Sivakumar

6. Manivannan

7. K.Chellakannu

8. K.Umamaheswari

9. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai.

... Respondents



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

Prayer: Review Petition filed under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India to review the order dated 24.07.2006 in W.P.No.1344 of 2001

For Petitioner : Mr.Vijayakrishnan.R.P.
for Mr.R.Priyakumar

For Respondents : No appearance for R1 to R8
R7-Tribunal – CAT

Rev.A.No.67 of 2006

1. The Union of India,
represented by
Chief General Manager,
Telecommunications, Tamilnadu Circle,
Chennai – 600 002.
2. Chief Superintendent,
Telegraphic Traffic (Admn)
CTO, madras
3. The General Manager,
Telecommunications,
Tanjore – 613 001

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. E.S.Seshadri
2. s.Anantaraman
3. I.Krishnakumar
4. P.Boopal
5. V.Srinivasan
6. G.Kannan
7. S.Krishnan



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

8. S.Sivasankaran

9. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Petition filed under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India to review the order dated 24.07.2006 in W.P.No.2077 of 2001

For Petitioners : Mr.Vijayakrishnan.R.P.
for Mr.R.Priyakumar

For Respondents : No appearance for R1 to R8
R9-Tribunal – CAT

Rev.A.No.68 of 2006

The Union of India,
represented by
Chief General Manager,
Telecommunications, Tamilnadu Circle,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. C.K.Govindarajan

2. A.Basheer Ahmed

3. Muruganandam

4. R.Ravimarimuthu

5. M.Chelladurai

6. K.Palanisamy

7. T.Natarajan

8. M.Paul

9. J.Ramachandran



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

10. R.Shanmugam

11. B.Thangavelu

12. C.N.Tamaraiselvi

13. M.S.Veeraraghavan

14. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Petition filed under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India to review the order dated 24.07.2006 in W.P.No.2087 of 2001

For Petitioner : Mr.Vijayakrishnan.R.P.
for Mr.R.Priyakumar

For Respondents : No appearance for R1 to R13
R14-Tribunal – CAT

COMMON ORDER

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM,J.

The Review Petitions have been instituted to review the common order dated 24.07.2006 passed in W.P.Nos.1342 to 1344, 2077 & 2087 of 2001 respectively.

2. Perusal of the grounds raised in the review petitions would show that the learned Standing Counsel for the review petitioners had not appeared at the time of hearing of the writ petitions by the Division Bench of this Court. Since both the parties had not appeared, the Division



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

Bench considered the issues on merits and passed a common order, following the orders passed in similar W.P.No.15509 of 1998 filed by the Union of India.

3. The grounds raised in the review petitions relating to the merits cannot be re-adjudicated by expanding the scope of review jurisdiction. This Court does not find any error apparent warranting interference and thus. the Review Petitions stand dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition are closed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (C.K.,J.)

11.12.2025

vsi

Index : Yes

Speaking order : Yes

To

The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

S.M. SUBRAMANIAM, J.
and
C.KUMARAPPAN,J.

vsi

Rev.A.(W).Nos.64 to 68 of 2006

11.12.2025