



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 17.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

- 1.Murugan
2. Kamalesh Kumar
3. Mugesh Prabhu
4. Arun Kumar

... petitioners

Vs

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Koyambedu police station,.
Chennai,
Chennai District
(Crime No.26 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police in Crime No. 26 of 2025 pending on the file of Kovilpalayam police station, Coimbatore.

For petitioners : Mr.S.P.Meenakshisundaram
For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 118(2), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 in Crime No.26 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, due to which, he sustained injuries and admitted in the hospital. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, due to which, he sustained injuries and admitted in the hospital. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital. He would also submit that there are no previous case pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *V Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai* on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)**, with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report **before the respondent police daily at 10.30 A.M. until further orders.**



Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.



Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

[g] If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

17.01.2025

nr



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

G.R.SWAMINATHAN J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.1144 of 2025

17.01.2025