



WEB COPY



A. No.300 of 2025
in
C.S. No.410 of 2018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.10.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

A. No.300 of 2025

in

C.S. No.410 of 2018

Dr. S. Selvakumar

... Applicant / Plaintiff

vs.

1. Dr. A.B. Selvarangan (deceased)

... 1st defendant

2. S. Balasubramani

3. V.S. Velayutham

4. S. Savithri

5. B. Srilekha

6. S. Rajalakshmi

... Respondents /Defendants 2 - 6

PRAYER: Application filed under Order XIV, Rule 8 of Original Side Rules

read with Order VII Rule 14(3) of Civil Procedure Code praying to permit the

applicants / Plaintiffs to file additional documents in C.S. No.410 of 2018.

For Applicant : Mr. S. Namasivayam

For Respondents :

R1 : Died

Page No.1 of 6



A. No.300 of 2025

in

C.S. No.410 of 2018

R2 : Mr. R. Munuswamy
R3 : Mr. Avinash Wadhwani
R4 & R5 : Ms. V. Srimathy
R6 : Mr. D.R. Raghunath

ORDER

This application has been filed by the petitioner to permit him to file additional documents in the main Suit in C.S. No.410 of 2018 under Order XIV, Rule 8 of Original Side Rules read with Order VII Rule 14(3) of Civil Procedure Code.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant is the Plaintiff in the main Suit and the main Suit is filed for permanent injunction from interfering with peaceful enjoyment of the Plaintiff and at the time of filing of the Plaint, he was unable to produce the application mentioned documents and now only, he obtained those documents and those documents are essential to prove the case and hence prayed to allow



A. No.300 of 2025
in
C.S. No.410 of 2018

this application.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that the reasons stated by the application are not supported by documents and the documents mentioned in the application are not related to this case and hence the application is liable to be dismissed.

4. Heard both sides and perused the records.

5. Considering the reasons stated in the affidavit that the documents are very relevant to this case and the applicant was unable to produce those documents at the time of filing of the Suit, as those documents were not available and now only he traced out the said documents and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the application is to be allowed and the documents can be received subject to proof, relevancy and admissibility. The respondent is at liberty to raise

Page No.3 of 6



A. No.300 of 2025
in
C.S. No.410 of 2018

objections, if any, at the time of marking the documents.

WEB COPY

6. In view of the above observations, this application is *allowed*. **The application mentioned documents can be received subject to proof, relevancy and admissibility.**

22.10.2025
[2/4]

mjs



WEB COPY



A. No.300 of 2025
in
C.S. No.410 of 2018
P.DHANABAL.,J

mjs

A. No.300 of 2025
in
C.S. No.410 of 2018



WEB COPY



A. No.300 of 2025
in
C.S. No.410 of 2018

22.10.2025

[2/4]