



WEB COPY



W.P. No.1669 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

W.P. No.1669 of 2025

and

W.M.P. Nos.1912 and 1913 of 2025

Tvl.Amman Electricals,
Represented by its Partner,
T.Jagaventhana
3/136, PWD Bungalow Road,
Nallur Village, Cholavaram,
Chennai-600 067.

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Tax Officer,
Manali Assessment Circle,
Room No.108, 1st Floor,
Integrated C.T. Buildings,
Chennai-600 003.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the impugned order of the Respondent passed in GSTIN:33AAUFA1849H1ZA/2017-18 dated 29.12.2023 quash the same.

For Petitioner

: Mr.Adithya Reddy

For Respondent

: Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran
Government Advocate



W.P. No.1669 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY The present writ petition is filed challenging the impugned order dated 29.12.2023 relating to the assessment year 2017-18.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is a dealer engaged in electrical products and is registered under the Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017. During the relevant period 2017-18, the petitioner filed its returns and paid the appropriate taxes. However, during the scrutiny of the petitioner's monthly return, the following discrepancies were noticed:

- i) Mismatch between GSTR 3B and Form 26 AS;
- ii) Mismatch between GSTR 3B vs. GSTR 9/9C.

3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that an intimation in ASMT-10 was issued on 04.07.2023, followed by a notice in DRC-01 on 09.08.2023. Further, personal hearing was afforded on 07.09.2023. Though the petitioner filed its reply on 28.08.2023, the same was rejected on the premise that no supporting documents were filed and the impugned order came to be passed confirming the proposal. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that if the petitioner is provided with an opportunity, they would



W.P. No.1669 of 2025

be able to explain the alleged discrepancies.

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would place reliance upon the recent judgment of this Court in the case of *M/s.K.Balakrishnan, Balu Cables vs. O/o. the Assistant Commissioner of GST & Central Excise in W.P.(MD)No.11924 of 2024 dated 10.06.2024*, to submit that this court has remanded the matter back in similar circumstances subject to payment of 25% of the disputed taxes.

5. It was further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax and that they may be granted one final opportunity before the adjudicating authority to put forth their objections to the proposal, to which the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent does not have any serious objection.

6. By consent of both parties, the writ petition stands disposed of on the following terms:

- a) The impugned order dated 29.12.2023 is set aside.
- b) The petitioner shall deposit 25% of the disputed taxes as admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent, within a period of four



W.P. No.1669 of 2025

weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

c) If any amount has been recovered or paid out of the disputed taxes, including by way of pre-deposit in appeal, the same would be reduced/adjusted, from/towards the 25% of disputed taxes directed to be paid. The assessing authority shall then intimate the balance amount out of 25 % of disputed taxes to be paid, if any, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner shall deposit such remaining sum within a period of three weeks from such intimation.

d) The entire exercise of verification of payment, if any, intimation of the balance sums, if any, to be paid for compliance with the direction of payment of 25% of the disputed taxes, after deducting the sums already paid and payment by the petitioner of the balance amount, if any, on intimation in compliance with the above direction shall be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

e) Failure to comply with the above condition viz., payment of 25% of disputed taxes within the stipulated period i.e., four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order shall result in restoration of the impugned order.

f) If there is any recovery by way of attachment of Bank account or garnishee proceedings, the same shall be lifted /withdrawn on complying with the above condition viz., payment of 25 % of the disputed taxes.



W.P. No.1669 of 2025

g) On complying with the above condition, the impugned order of assessment shall be treated as show cause notice and the petitioner shall submit its objections within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order along with supporting documents/material. If any such objections are filed, the same shall be considered by the respondent and orders shall be passed in accordance with law after affording a reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It is made clear that if the above conditions viz., 25% of disputed taxes is not complied or objections are not filed within the stipulated period, four weeks respectively from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the impugned order of assessment shall stand restored.

7. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

22.01.2025

Speaking (or) Non Speaking Order

Index : Yes/ No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

mka

To:

State Tax Officer,
Manali Assessment Circle,
Room No.101, 1st Floor,
Integrated C.T. Buildings,
Chennai-600 003.



WEB COPY



W.P. No.1669 of 2025

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.

mka

W.P. No.1669 of 2025

22.01.2025