



Crl.O.P.No.1102 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :21.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.1102 of 2025

Sudalimuthu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by

The Sub Inspector of Police,

Cyber Crime Police Station,

Tiruvannamalai District. (Crime No. 64 of 2024)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No. 64
of 2024 pending on the file of the respondent Police.


For Petitioner : Ms.C. Geetha

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Petition seeking bail in respect of Crime No.64 of 2024 registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) of IPC and 66(d) of IT Act is on
board for consideration.

2.The incarceration of the petitioner being from 22.11.2024 pleading
innocence on the part of the petitioner and false implication in the case, learned
counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court. He also submits that the
petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offences and he is ready to



Cr1.O.P.No.1102 of 2025

abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this court.

3.The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of bail, is that the petitioner deceived the de facto complainant by claiming that he had won a scooty as a prize in an online chit operated by the petitioner. The petitioner allegedly induced the de facto complainant to deposit a sum of Rs.17,000/-. Further, the petitioner is said to have demanded an additional entry fee of Rs.10,000/- from the de facto complainant. However, neither was the vehicle provided nor was the money refunded, thus leading to the accusation of cheating the de facto complainant. He further submits that there is one previous cases against the petitioner.

4.Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions and accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvannamalai and on further conditions that:



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1102 of 2025

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.01.2025

sai



WEB COPY



Cr1.O.P.No.1102 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.
sai

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Tiruvannamalai
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Vellore.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Cr1.O.P.No.1102 of 2025

21.01.2025