



C.R.P.(NPD) Nos.450 & 451 of 2003

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

C.R.P.(NPD) Nos.450 & 451 of 2003

and

C.M.P.Nos.163 & 164 of 2012

Raju Naidu

... Petitioner
in both petitions

Vs.

- 1.Chanemouga Sundaram
- 2.Chanemouga Canda
- 3.Parvadi Vauban
- 4.Chenemouga Gustava
- 5.Chanemouga Kouga
- 6.Chanemouga Siva
- 7.Chanemouga Kircuchena
- 8.Rada Codor
- 9.Mai Venty

... Respondents
in both petitions

Prayer in C.R.P.(NPD) No.450 of 2003 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 115 of Code of Civil Procedure against the common order dated 13.12.2001 in Civil Review Application No.3 of 1994 in the order and decree dated 06.08.1993 made in A.S.No.146 of 1986 on the file of the Court of III Additional District Judge, Pondicherry.



C.R.P.(NPD) Nos.450 & 451 of 2003

WEB COPY

Prayer in C.R.P.(NPD) No.451 of 2003 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 115 of Code of Civil Procedure against the common order dated 13.12.2001 in Civil Review Application No.4 of 1994 in the order and decree dated 06.08.1993 made in A.S.No.145 of 1989 on the file of the Court of III Additional District Judge, Pondicherry.

For Petitioner : No appearance
in both petitions
(Petitioner's name printed in the cause
list – not appeared)

For R1 to R8 : Mr.R.Sreedhar
in both petitions

R9 : Given up

COMMON ORDER

Challenging the order of the III Additional District Judge, Pondicherry, dated 13.12.2001, dismissing the Review Application Nos.3 and 4 of 1994 in A.S.Nos.146 of 1986 & 145 of 1989, these two Civil Revision Petitions are filed.


2.Originally, the suit in O.S.No.4 of 1983 has been filed by the respondents herein for declaration. The suit has been decreed. The counter claim raised by the revision petitioner was also negatived, however, there



C.R.P.(NPD) Nos.450 & 451 of 2003

was a direction to the plaintiffs to refund the advance amount to the defendant. Appeals were filed by both the parties as against the judgment and decree in the suit, in A.S.Nos.146 of 1986 and 145 of 1989. Both the appeals were disposed of by a common judgment dated 06.08.1993. Thereafter, review applications have been filed by the revision petitioner in Review Application Nos.3 and 4 of 1994 in A.S.Nos.146 of 1986 & 145 of 1989 respectively. The said review applications were also dismissed by common order dated 13.12.2001. Challenging the order in the review applications, the present revisions have been filed.

3.In the meanwhile, it appears that delivery has been effected in favour of the respondents/plaintiffs in E.P.No.286 of 1999. Challenging the order passed by the Executing Court, a Civil Revision Petition has been filed in C.R.P.No.4385 of 2014. This Court, by order dated 18.01.2018, has dismissed the said Civil Revision Petition. Challenging the dismissal of the Civil Revision Petition, Civil Appeal has been filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.3616 of 2024. The Hon'ble Apex Court has also dismissed the civil appeal.



C.R.P.(NPD) Nos.450 & 451 of 2003

WEB COPY

4.Now, the fact remains that delivery has been effected. The present revision petitions are only against the dismissal of the review applications which were dismissed by the Appellate Court. Further, the petitioner, having filed the revision petitions, has not appeared before this Court. Therefore, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served in keeping these revisions pending, as the matter has become infructuous and is devoid of any merits.

5.Hence, these Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed as infructuous.
No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

04.06.2025

mkn

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes / No
Speaking order / Nonspeaking order
Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

The III Additional District Judge,
Pondicherry.

N. SATHISH KUMAR, J.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(NPD) Nos.450 & 451 of 2003

mkn

C.R.P.(NPD) Nos.450 & 451 of 2003

04.06.2025